

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Reorganized Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Darlene Calderon, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Court appointed claims and noticing agent for the Reorganized Debtors in the above-captioned cases.

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the documents listed below (i) upon the parties listed on Exhibit A hereto via electronic notification, and (ii) upon the party listed on Exhibit B hereto via postage pre-paid U.S. mail:

- 1) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 18743 and 20052 (Genpact International, Inc. and Genpact International, LLC) (Docket No. 20507) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C]
- 2) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim Number 14086 (Carolyn Needham) (Docket No. 20508) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]
- 3) Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Claim Numbers 1294 and 1301 Filed by Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation ("Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing as to Proofs of Claim Numbers 1294 and 1301") (Docket No. 20509) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]
- 4) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply to Response of Claimants to Reorganized Debtors' Objections to Proofs of Claim Numbers 10884, 15346, and 15347 ("Supplemental Reply Regarding Certain Duplicate Claims") (Docket No. 20510) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]

- 5) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply to Response on Behalf of Claimant to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19574 Filed on Behalf of Lee H. Young, Jr. ("Supplemental Reply Regarding Claim Filed on Behalf of Lee H. Young, Jr.") (Docket No. 20511) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]
- 6) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply to Response of Claimant to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Administrative Expense Claim Number 19168 Filed by Michigan Funds Administration ("Supplemental Reply Regarding Claim Filed by Michigan Funds Administration") (Docket No. 20512) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]
- 7) Notice of Deadline to File Motion for Leave to File Late Claim with Respect to Late Claim Filed by Best Foam Fabricators, Inc. (Proof of Claim No. 16550) (Docket No. 20513) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]
- 8) Reorganized Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Debtors' Thirty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proofs of Claim Numbers 5408 and 7269 ("Notice of Withdrawal of Thirty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Certain Proofs of Claim") (Docket No. 20514) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J]
- 9) Reorganized Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Debtors' Twenty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proofs of Claim Numbers 805, 2387, 11854, and 15469 ("Notice of Withdrawal of Twenty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Certain Proofs of Claim") (Docket No. 20515) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit L hereto via overnight mail:

- 10) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 18743 and 20052 (Genpact International, Inc. and Genpact International, LLC) (Docket No. 20507) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the party listed on Exhibit M hereto via overnight mail:

- 11) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim Number 14086 (Carolyn Needham) (Docket No. 20508) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit N hereto via overnight mail:

- 12) Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Claim Numbers 1294 and 1301 Filed by Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation ("Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing as to Proofs of Claim Numbers 1294 and 1301") (Docket No. 20509) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit O hereto via overnight mail:

- 13) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply to Response of Claimants to Reorganized Debtors' Objections to Proofs of Claim Numbers 10884, 15346, and 15347 ("Supplemental Reply Regarding Certain Duplicate Claims") (Docket No. 20510) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit P hereto via overnight mail:

- 14) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply to Response on Behalf of Claimant to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19574 Filed on Behalf of Lee H. Young, Jr. ("Supplemental Reply Regarding Claim Filed on Behalf of Lee H. Young, Jr.") (Docket No. 20511) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the party listed on Exhibit Q hereto via overnight mail:

- 15) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply to Response of Claimant to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Administrative Expense Claim Number 19168 Filed by Michigan Funds Administration ("Supplemental Reply Regarding Claim Filed by Michigan Funds Administration") (Docket No. 20512) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit R hereto via overnight mail:

- 16) Notice of Deadline to File Motion for Leave to File Late Claim with Respect to Late Claim Filed by Best Foam Fabricators, Inc. (Proof of Claim No. 16550) (Docket No. 20513) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit S hereto via overnight mail:

- 17) Reorganized Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Debtors' Thirty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proofs of Claim Numbers 5408 and 7269 ("Notice of Withdrawal of Thirty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Certain Proofs of Claim") (Docket No. 20514) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J]

On August 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit T hereto via overnight mail:

- 18) Reorganized Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Debtors' Twenty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proofs of Claim Numbers 805, 2387, 11854, and 15469 ("Notice of Withdrawal of Twenty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Certain Proofs of Claim") (Docket No. 20515) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K]

Dated: August 20, 2010

/s/ Darlene Calderon

Darlene Calderon

State of California  
County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010, by Darlene Calderon, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

Signature: /s/ Gabriela Hill

Commission Expires: 6/11/13

# **EXHIBIT A**

## Post-Emergence Master Service List

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Deborah L. Thorne Kathleen L. Matsoukas	One N Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-357-1313	<a href="mailto:dthorne@btlaw.com">dthorne@btlaw.com</a> <a href="mailto:kmatsoukas@btlaw.com">kmatsoukas@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions)
Delphi Automotive Systems LLP	Sean Corcoran Karen Craft David M. Sherbin	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	<a href="mailto:sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com">sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com</a> <a href="mailto:karen.i.craft@delphi.com">karen.i.craft@delphi.com</a> <a href="mailto:david.sherbin@delphi.com">david.sherbin@delphi.com</a>	Delphi Automotive Systems LLP
DPH Holdings Corp.	John Brooks	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2143	<a href="mailto:john.brooks@delphi.com">john.brooks@delphi.com</a>	Reorganized Debtors
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq. Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	<a href="mailto:fgorman@honigman.com">fgorman@honigman.com</a> <a href="mailto:rweiss@honigman.com">rweiss@honigman.com</a>	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Ruskin Moscou Faltischek PC	Jeffrey A. Wurst, Esq.	1425 RXR Plaza	15th Floor	Uniondale	NY	11556	516-663-6535	<a href="mailto:jwurst@rmfpc.com">jwurst@rmfpc.com</a>	
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Ron E. Meisler	155 N Wacker Drive	Suite 2700	Chicago	IL	60606-1720	312-407-0700	<a href="mailto:rmeisler@skadden.com">rmeisler@skadden.com</a>	Counsel to the Reorganized Debtor
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Harvey R. Miller Robert J. Lemons	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8500	<a href="mailto:harvey.miller@weil.com">harvey.miller@weil.com</a> <a href="mailto:robert.lemons@weil.com">robert.lemons@weil.com</a>	Counsel to General Motors Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Adalberto Cañadas Castillo		Avda Ramon de Carranza	10-1º	Cadiz		11006	Spain	34 956 226 311	<a href="mailto:adalberto@canadas.com">adalberto@canadas.com</a>	Representative to DASE
Adler Pollock & Sheehan PC	Joseph Avanzato	One Citizens Plz 8th Fl		Providence	RI	02903		401-274-7200	<a href="mailto:javanzato@apslaw.com">javanzato@apslaw.com</a>	Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft
Airgas, Inc.	David Boyle	259 Radnor-Chester Road, Suite 100	P.O. Box 6675	Radnor	PA	19087-8675		610-902-6028	<a href="mailto:david.boyle@airgas.com">david.boyle@airgas.com</a>	Counsel to Airgas, Inc.
Akebono Brake Corporaton	Brandon J. Kessinger	310 Ring Road		Elizabethtown	KY	42701		270-234-5580	<a href="mailto:bkessinger@akebono-usa.com">bkessinger@akebono-usa.com</a>	Representative for Akebono Corporation
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Christina M. Padien	2029 Centure Park East	Suite 2400	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-229-1000	<a href="mailto:cpadien@akingump.com">cpadien@akingump.com</a>	Counsel to Wamco, Inc.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	David M Dunn	1333 New Hampshire Ave NW		Washington	DC	20036		202-887-4000	<a href="mailto:ddunn@akingump.com">ddunn@akingump.com</a>	Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Ira S Dizengoff	One Bryant Park		New York	NY	10036		212-872-1000	<a href="mailto:idezengoff@akingump.com">idezengoff@akingump.com</a>	Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP	Michael S. Greger	1900 Main Street	Fifth Floor	Irvine	CA	92614-7321		949-553-1313	<a href="mailto:mgreger@allenmatkins.com">mgreger@allenmatkins.com</a>	Counsel to Kilroy Realty, L.P.
Alliance for Sustainable Energy LLC	National Renewable Energy Laboratory	Jim Martin Senior Attorney	1617 Golden Blvd MS 1734	Golden	CO	80401		303-384-7497	<a href="mailto:jim.martin@nrel.gov">jim.martin@nrel.gov</a>	Counsel for National Renewable Energy Laboratory
Alston & Bird, LLP	Craig E. Freeman	90 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-210-9400	<a href="mailto:craig.freeman@alston.com">craig.freeman@alston.com</a>	Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC
Alston & Bird, LLP	Dennis J. Connolly; David A. Wender	1201 West Peachtree Street		Atlanta	GA	30309		404-881-7269	<a href="mailto:dconnolly@alston.com">dconnolly@alston.com</a> <a href="mailto:dwender@alston.com">dwender@alston.com</a>	Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC, PD George Co, Furukawa Electric Company, Ltd., and Furukawa Electric North America APD, Inc.
American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.	Steven R. Keyes	One Dauch Drive, Mail Code 6E-2-42		Detroit	MI	48243		313-758-4868	<a href="mailto:steven.keyes@aam.com">steven.keyes@aam.com</a>	Representative for American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Anglin, Flewelling, Rasmussen, Campbell & Trytten, LLP	Mark T. Flewelling	199 South Los Robles Avenue	Suite 600	Pasadena	CA	91101-2459		626-535-1900	<a href="mailto:mtf@afrc.com">mtf@afrc.com</a>	Counsel to Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
Arent Fox PLLC	Robert M. Hirsh	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	<a href="mailto:Hirsh.Robert@arentfox.com">Hirsh.Robert@arentfox.com</a>	Counsel to Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP	Darryl S. Laddin	171 17th Street NW	Suite 2100	Atlanta	GA	30363-1031		404-873-8120	<a href="mailto:dladdin@agg.com">dladdin@agg.com</a>	Counsel to Daishinku (America) Corp. d/b/a KDS America ("Daishinku"), SBC Telecommunications, Inc. (SBC)
Arnold & Porter LLP	Joel M. Gross	555 Twelfth Street, N.W.		Washington	D.C.	20004-1206		202-942-5000	<a href="mailto:joel_gross@aporter.com">joel_gross@aporter.com</a>	Counsel to CSX Transportation, Inc.
ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.	Carl Galloway	250 Royal Oak Road		Cambridge	Ontario	N3H 4R6	Canada	519-653-4483	<a href="mailto:cgalloway@atsautomation.com">cgalloway@atsautomation.com</a>	Company
Balch & Bingham LLP	Eric T. Ray	PO Box 306		Birmingham	AL	35201		205-251-8100	<a href="mailto:eray@balch.com">eray@balch.com</a>	Attorney for Alabama Power Company
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	Kimberly J. Robinson	200 W Madison St Ste 3900		Chicago	IL	60606		312-984-3100	<a href="mailto:kim.robinson@bfkn.com">kim.robinson@bfkn.com</a>	Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc.
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	William J. Barrett	200 W Madison St Ste 3900		Chicago	IL	60606		312-984-3100	<a href="mailto:william.barrett@bfkn.com">william.barrett@bfkn.com</a>	Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Alan K. Mills	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	<a href="mailto:alan.mills@btlaw.com">alan.mills@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Mays Chemical Company
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Damon R Leichty	600 1st Source Bank Center	100 North Michigan	South Bend	IN	46601		574-233-1171	<a href="mailto:damon.leichty@btlaw.com">damon.leichty@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	David M. Powlen	1000 N West Street	Suite 1200	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-888-4536	<a href="mailto:david.powlen@btlaw.com">david.powlen@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Howard County, Indiana
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Deborah L. Thorne	One North Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606		312-357-1313	<a href="mailto:deborah.thorne@btlaw.com">deborah.thorne@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions)
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	John T. Gregg	171 Monroe Avenue NW	Suite 1000	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3930	<a href="mailto:jgregg@btlaw.com">jgregg@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Priority Health; Clarion Corporation of America; Continental AG and Affiliates
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Kathleen L. Matsoukas	One North Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606		312-357-1313	<a href="mailto:kathleen.matsoukas@btlaw.com">kathleen.matsoukas@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions); Howard County, Indiana
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Mark R. Owens	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	<a href="mailto:mark.owens@btlaw.com">mark.owens@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Michael K. McCrory	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	<a href="mailto:michael.mccrory@btlaw.com">michael.mccrory@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation; Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Patrick E. Mears	171 Monroe Avenue NW	Suite 1000	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3936	<a href="mailto:pmears@btlaw.com">pmears@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Armada Rubber Manufacturing Company, Bank of America Leasing & Leasing & Capital, LLC, & AutoCam Corporation
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Sarah Quinn Kuhny	600 1st Source Bank Center	100 North Michigan	South Bend	IN	46601		574-233-1171	<a href="mailto:sarah.kuhny@btlaw.com">sarah.kuhny@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Wendy D. Brewer	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	<a href="mailto:wendy.brewer@btlaw.com">wendy.brewer@btlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation
Bartlett Hackett Feinberg P.C.	Frank F. McGinn	155 Federal Street	9th Floor	Boston	MA	02110		617-422-0200	<a href="mailto:ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com">ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com</a>	Counsel to Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc.
Beeman Law Office	Thomas M Beeman	33 West 10th Street	Suite 200	Anderson	IN	46016		765-640-1330	<a href="mailto:tom@beemanlawoffice.com">tom@beemanlawoffice.com</a>	Counsel to Madison County (Indiana) Treasurer
Bendinelli Law Office PC	Jerry Sumner	11184 Huron Street	Suite 10	Denver	CO	80234		303-940-9900	<a href="mailto:js@colawfirm.com">js@colawfirm.com</a> <a href="mailto:michelle@colawfirm.com">michelle@colawfirm.com</a>	Counsel to Jose C Alfaro
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Hannah E. Greenwald	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1411	<a href="mailto:hannah@blbglaw.com">hannah@blbglaw.com</a>	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Berry Moorman P.C.	James P. Murphy	535 Griswold	Suite 1900	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-1200	<a href="mailto:murph@berrymoorman.com">murph@berrymoorman.com</a>	Counsel to Kamax L.P.; Optrex America, Inc.; GKN Sinter Metals, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Kenneth T. Law, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	<a href="mailto:klaw@bbslaw.com">klaw@bbslaw.com</a>	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc..



COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Lawrence M. Schwab, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	<a href="mailto:lschwab@bbslaw.com">lschwab@bbslaw.com</a>	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.; Solectron Corporation; Solectron De Mexico SA de CV; Solectron Invoitronics; Coherent, Inc.; Veritas Software Corporation
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Patrick M. Costello, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	<a href="mailto:pcostello@bbslaw.com">pcostello@bbslaw.com</a>	Solectron Corporation; Solectron de Mexico SA de CV; Solectron Invoitronics and Coherent, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Thomas M. Gaa	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	<a href="mailto:tgaa@bbslaw.com">tgaa@bbslaw.com</a>	Counsel to Veritas Software Corporation
Bingham McCutchen LLP	Kate K Simon	One State Street		Hartford	CT	06103		860-240-2700	<a href="mailto:kate.simon@bingham.com">kate.simon@bingham.com</a>	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation and Sumitomo Corp. of America
Bingham McHale LLP	Whitney L Mosby	10 West Market Street	Suite 2700	Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-635-8900	<a href="mailto:wmosby@binghammchale.com">wmosby@binghammchale.com</a>	Counsel to Universal Tool & Engineering co., Inc. and M.G. Corporation
Blank Rome LLP	Marc E. Richards	The Chrylser Building	405 Lexington Avenue	New York	NY	10174		212-885-5000	<a href="mailto:mrichards@blankrome.com">mrichards@blankrome.com</a>	Counsel to DENSO International America, Inc.
Bodman LLP	Ralph E. McDowell	100 Renaissance Center	34th Floor	Detroit	MI	48243		313-393-7592	<a href="mailto:rmcdowell@bodmanllp.com">rmcdowell@bodmanllp.com</a>	Counsel to Freudenberg-NOK; General Partnership; Freudenberg-NOK, Inc.; Flextech, Inc.; Vibracoustic de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.; Lear Corporation; American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Camille W. Hill	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	<a href="mailto:chill@bsk.com">chill@bsk.com</a>	Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Charles J. Sullivan	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	<a href="mailto:csullivan@bsk.com">csullivan@bsk.com</a>	Counsel to Diemolding Corporation
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Stephen A. Donato	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	<a href="mailto:sdonato@bsk.com">sdonato@bsk.com</a>	Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp; Diemolding Corporation
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Austin L. McMullen	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	<a href="mailto:amcmullen@bccb.com">amcmullen@bccb.com</a>	Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Roger G. Jones	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	<a href="mailto:rjones@bccb.com">rjones@bccb.com</a>	Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Brembo S.p.A.	Massimiliano Cini	Administration Department via Brembo 25	24035 Curno BG	Bergamo			Italy	00039-035-605-529	<a href="mailto:massimiliano_cini@brembo.it">massimiliano_cini@brembo.it</a>	Creditor
Brown & Connery, LLP	Donald K. Ludman	6 North Broad Street		Woodbury	NJ	08096		856-812-8900	<a href="mailto:dludman@brownconnery.com">dludman@brownconnery.com</a>	Counsel to SAP America, Inc.
Buchalter Nemer, A Profesional Corporation	Shawn M. Christianson	333 Market Street	25th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94105-2126		415-227-0900	<a href="mailto:schristianson@buchalter.com">schristianson@buchalter.com</a>	Counsel to Oracle USA, Inc.; Oracle Credit Corporation
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	Mary Caloway	The Brandywine Building	1000 West Street, Suite 1410	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-552-4200	<a href="mailto:mary.caloway@bipc.com">mary.caloway@bipc.com</a>	Counsel to Fiduciary Counselors
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	Peter S. Russ	620 Eighth Ave	23rd Floor	New York	NY	10018		212-440-4400	<a href="mailto:peter.russ@bipc.com">peter.russ@bipc.com</a>	Counsel to ATEL Leasing Corp.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	William H. Schorling, Esq.	Two Liberty Place	50 S. 16th St., Ste 3200	Philadelphia	PA	19102		215-665-5326	<a href="mailto:william.schorling@bipc.com">william.schorling@bipc.com</a>	Counsel to Fiduciary Counselors
Butzel Long	Cynthia J. Haffey	150 W. Jefferson	Suite 100	Detroit	MI	48226		313-983-7434	<a href="mailto:haffey@butzel.com">haffey@butzel.com</a>	Counsel to Delphi Corporation
Butzel Long	Donald V. Orlandoni	150 W. Jefferson	Suite 100	Detroit	MI	48226		313-225-7063	<a href="mailto:orlandoni@butzel.com">orlandoni@butzel.com</a>	Counsel to Delphi Corporation
Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP	Jeannine D'Amico	1201 F St NW Ste 1100		Washington	DC	20004		202-862-2452	<a href="mailto:jeannine.damico@cw.com">jeannine.damico@cw.com</a>	Attorneys for the Audit Committee of Dephi Corporation
Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP	John J. Rapisardi Esq Joseph Zujkowski Esq	One World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-504-6000	<a href="mailto:john.rapisardi@cw.com">john.rapisardi@cw.com</a> <a href="mailto:joseph.zujkowski@cw.com">joseph.zujkowski@cw.com</a> <a href="mailto:jonathan.greenberg@BASF.COM">jonathan.greenberg@BASF.COM</a>	Counsel to the Auto Task Force of the U.S. Department of the Treasury
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Jonathan Greenberg	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000		Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Kevin Burke	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	<a href="mailto:kburke@cahill.com">kburke@cahill.com</a>	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLC	Jean R. Robertson, Esq.	1400 McDonald Investment Ctr	800 Superior Ave	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-622-8404	<a href="mailto:jrobertson@calfee.com">jrobertson@calfee.com</a>	Counsel to Brush Engineered materials
Calinoff & Katz, LLP	Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio Robert Calinoff	140 East 45th Street	17th Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-826-8800	<a href="mailto:dhriaggio@gmail.com">dhriaggio@gmail.com</a> <a href="mailto:rcalinoff@candklaw.com">rcalinoff@candklaw.com</a>	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, I
Cantor Colburn LLP	Michael J Rye	20 Church Street	22nd Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3207		860-286-2929	<a href="mailto:mrye@cantorcolburn.com">mrye@cantorcolburn.com</a>	Patent Counsel to Delphi Corporation et al., Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Joseph M Fischer Patrick J Kukla	4111 Andover Road	West 2nd Floor	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48302		248-644-4840	<a href="mailto:brcy@carsonfischer.com">brcy@carsonfischer.com</a>	Counsel to Bing Metals Group, LLC; Behr America, Inc.; Findlay Industries; Vitec, LLC
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Robert A. Weisberg	4111 Andover Road	West 2nd Floor	Birmingham	MI	48302		248-644-4840	<a href="mailto:rweisberg@carsonfischer.com">rweisberg@carsonfischer.com</a> <a href="mailto:brcy@carsonfischer.com">brcy@carsonfischer.com</a>	Counsel to Cascade Die Casting Group, Inc.; Behr America, Inc.
Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP	Aaron R. Cahn	2 Wall Street		New York	NY	10005		212-732-3200	<a href="mailto:cahn@clm.com">cahn@clm.com</a>	Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Chadbourne & Parke LLP	Douglas Deutsch, Esq.	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-408-5100	<a href="mailto:ddeutsch@chadbourne.com">ddeutsch@chadbourne.com</a>	Counsel to EagleRock Capital Management, LLC
Clark Hill PLC	Joel D. Applebaum	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	<a href="mailto:japplebaum@clarkhill.com">japplebaum@clarkhill.com</a>	Counsel to 1st Choice Heating & Cooling, Inc.; BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLC	Shannon Deeby	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	<a href="mailto:sdeeby@clarkhill.com">sdeeby@clarkhill.com</a>	Counsel to BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLLC	Robert D. Gordon	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8572	<a href="mailto:rgordon@clarkhill.com">rgordon@clarkhill.com</a>	Counsel to ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP	Deborah M. Buell	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	<a href="mailto:maofiling@cgsh.com">maofiling@cgsh.com</a>	Counsel to Arnese Electricos Automotrices, S.A.de C.V.; Cordaflex, S.A. de C.V.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton LLP	James L. Bromley	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	<a href="mailto:maofiling@cqsh.com">maofiling@cqsh.com</a>	Counsel to Bear, Stearns, Co. Inc.; Citigroup, Inc.; Credit Suisse First Boston; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.; JP Morgan Chase & Co.; Lehman Brothers, Inc.; Merrill Lynch & Co.; Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.; UBS Securities, LLC
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.	Thomas D. Maxson	11 Stanwix Street	15th Floor	Pittsburgh	PA	15222-1319		412-297-4706	<a href="mailto:tmaxson@cohenlaw.com">tmaxson@cohenlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Nova Chemicals, Inc.
Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP	Joseph J. Vitale Babette Ceccotti	330 West 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036		212-356-0238	<a href="mailto:jvital@cwswy.com">jvital@cwswy.com</a> <a href="mailto:bceccotti@cwswy.com">bceccotti@cwswy.com</a>	Counsel to International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW)
Cohn Birnbaum & Shea P.C.	Scott D. Rosen, Esq.	100 Pearl Street, 12th Floor		Hartford	CT	06103		860-493-2200	<a href="mailto:srosen@cb-shea.com">srosen@cb-shea.com</a>	Counsel to Floyd Manufacturing Co., Inc.
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP	Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq.	1007 N. Orange Street	P.O. Box 2207	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-658-9141	<a href="mailto:jwisler@cblh.com">jwisler@cblh.com</a>	Counsel to ORIX Warren, LLC
Coolidge Wall Co. LPA	Ronald S. Pretekin	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	<a href="mailto:Pretekin@coolaw.com">Pretekin@coolaw.com</a>	Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company; Attorneys for Columbia Industrial
Covington & Burling	Susan Power Johnston Aaron R. Marcu	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018		212-841-1005	<a href="mailto:sjohnston@cov.com">sjohnston@cov.com</a>	Special Counsel to the Debtor
Cox, Hodgman & Giarmarco, P.C.	Sean M. Walsh, Esq.	Tenth Floor Columbia Center	101 W. Big Beaver Road	Troy	MI	48084-5280		248-457-7000	<a href="mailto:swalsh@chglaw.com">swalsh@chglaw.com</a>	Counsel to Nissinbo Automotive Corporation
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Daniel P. Mazo	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	<a href="mailto:dpm@curtinheefner.com">dpm@curtinheefner.com</a>	Counsel to SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Cindi Eilbott	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061		212-696-6936	<a href="mailto:ceilbott@curtis.com">ceilbott@curtis.com</a>	Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc., Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Damon & Morey LLP	William F. Savino	1000 Cathedral Place	298 Main Street	Buffalo	NY	14202-4096		716-856-5500	<a href="mailto:wsavino@damonmorey.com">wsavino@damonmorey.com</a>	Counsel to Relco, Inc.; The Durham Companies, Inc.
David P. Martin		519 Energy Center Blvd	Ste 1104	Northport	AL	35401		205-343-1771	<a href="mailto:davidpmartin@erisacase.com">davidpmartin@erisacase.com</a> <a href="mailto:davidpmartin@bellsouth.net">davidpmartin@bellsouth.net</a>	Co-Counsel for David Gargis, Jimmy Mueller, and D. Keith Livingston
Day Pitney LLP	Richard M. Meth	P.O. Box 1945		Morristown	NJ	07962-1945		973-966-6300	<a href="mailto:rmeth@daypitney.com">rmeth@daypitney.com</a>	Counsel to Marshall E. Campbell Company
Day Pitney LLP	Ronald S. Beacher Conrad K. Chiu	7 Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-297-5800	<a href="mailto:rbeacher@daypitney.com">rbeacher@daypitney.com</a> <a href="mailto:cchiu@daypitney.com">cchiu@daypitney.com</a>	Counsel to IBJTC Business Credit Corporation, as successor to IBJ Whitehall Business Credit Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Dechert LLP	Glenn E. Siegel James O. Moore	1095 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-6797		212-698-3500	<a href="mailto:glenn.siegel@dechert.com">glenn.siegel@dechert.com</a> <a href="mailto:james.moore@dechert.com">james.moore@dechert.com</a>	Counsel for Kensington International Limited, Manchester Securities Corp. and Springfield Associates, LLC
Denso International America, Inc.	Carol Sowa	24777 Denso Drive		Southfield	MI	48086		248-372-8531	<a href="mailto:carol_sowa@denso-diam.com">carol_sowa@denso-diam.com</a>	Counsel to Denso International America, Inc.
DiConza Law, P.C.	Gerard DiConza, Esq.	630 Third Avenue, 7th Floor		New York	NY	10017		212-682-4940	<a href="mailto:gdiConza@dlawpc.com">gdiConza@dlawpc.com</a>	Counsel to Tyz-All Plastics, Inc.; Co-Counsel to Tower Automotive, Inc.
Dinsmore & Shohl LLP	John Persiani	1900 Chemed Center	255 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-977-8200	<a href="mailto:john.persiani@dinslaw.com">john.persiani@dinslaw.com</a>	Counsel to The Procter & Gamble Company
DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP	Richard M. Kremen Maria Ellena Chavez-Ruark	The Marbury Building	6225 Smith Avenue	Baltimore	Maryland	21209-3600		410-580-3000	<a href="mailto:richard.kremen@dlapiper.com">richard.kremen@dlapiper.com</a>	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. & Constellation NewEnergy - Gas Division, LLC
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Andrew C. Kassner	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	<a href="mailto:andrew.kassner@dbr.com">andrew.kassner@dbr.com</a>	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	David B. Aaronson	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	<a href="mailto:david.aaronson@dbr.com">david.aaronson@dbr.com</a>	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. and Quaker Chemical Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Joseph H. Lemkin	744 Broad Street	Suite 1200	Newark	NJ	07102		973-424-2000	<a href="mailto:jhlemkin@duanemorris.com">jhlemkin@duanemorris.com</a>	Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; and Hosiden America Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Lewis R Olshin Esq	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-979-1129	<a href="mailto:Olshin@duanemorris.com">Olshin@duanemorris.com</a>	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Margery N. Reed, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	<a href="mailto:dmdelphi@duanemorris.com">dmdelphi@duanemorris.com</a> <a href="mailto:mreed@duanemorris.com">mreed@duanemorris.com</a>	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Wendy M. Simkulak, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1547	<a href="mailto:wmsimkulak@duanemorris.com">wmsimkulak@duanemorris.com</a>	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Douglas S Parker	39577 Woodward Ave	Suite 300	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-203-0703	<a href="mailto:dparker@dykema.com">dparker@dykema.com</a>	Counsel for Federal Screw
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Robert D. Nachman	10 South Wacker Drive	Suite 2300	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-1700	<a href="mailto:nachman@dykema.com">nachman@dykema.com</a>	Counsel to MJ Celco, Inc.
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Sharon A. Salinas	10 South Wacker Dr	Suite 2300	Chicago	IL	60606		312-627-2199	<a href="mailto:ssalinas@dykema.com">ssalinas@dykema.com</a>	Counsel to Tremont City Barrel Fill PRP Group
Electronic Data Systems Corporation	Ayala Hassell	5400 Legacy Dr.	Mail Stop H3-3A-05	Plano	TX	75024		212-715-9100	<a href="mailto:ayala.hassell@eds.com">ayala.hassell@eds.com</a>	Representative for Electronic Data Systems Corporation
Ellenberg, Ogier, Rothschild & Rosenfeld, P.C.	Barbara Ellis-Monro	170 Mitchell Street, SW		Atlanta	GA	30303		404-581-3818	<a href="mailto:bem@eorlaw.com">bem@eorlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Southwire Company
Entergy Services, Inc.	Alan H. Katz	639 Loyola Ave 26th Fl		New Orleans	LA	70113			<a href="mailto:akatz@entergy.com">akatz@entergy.com</a>	Assistant General Counsel to Entergy Services, Inc

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Epstein Becker & Green PC	Maura I. Russell									Counsel to SPCP Group LLC as agent for Silver Point Capital Fund LP and Silver Point Capital Offshore Fund Ltd
Ettelman & Hochheiser, P.C.	Anthony B. Stumbo	250 Park Ave	11th Floor	New York	NY	10177-1211		212-351-4500	<a href="mailto:MRussell@ebqlaw.com">MRussell@ebqlaw.com</a>	
	Gary Ettelman	c/o Premium Cadillac	77 Main Street	New Rochelle	NY	10801		516-227-6300	<a href="mailto:gettelman@e-hlaw.com">gettelman@e-hlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Jon Ballin
Faegre & Benson LLP	Elizabeth K. Flaagan	3200 Wells Fargo Center	1700 Lincoln St	Denver	CO	80203-4532		303-607-3694	<a href="mailto:elflaagan@faegre.com">elflaagan@faegre.com</a>	Counsel to CoorsTek, Inc.; Corus, L.P.
Farrell Fritz PC	Louis A. Scarcella	1320 RexCorp Plaza		Uniondale	NY	11556-1320		516-227-0700	<a href="mailto:lscarcella@farrellfritz.com">lscarcella@farrellfritz.com</a>	Counsel to Official Committee of Equity Holders
	Patrick T. Collins								<a href="mailto:pcollins@farrellfritz.com">pcollins@farrellfritz.com</a>	
Filardi Law Offices LLC	Charles J. Filardi, Jr., Esq.	65 Trumbull Street	Second Floor	New Haven	CT	06510		203-562-8588	<a href="mailto:charles@filardi-law.com">charles@filardi-law.com</a>	Counsel to Federal Express Corporation
Finkel Goldstein Rosenbloom & Nash LLP	Ted J. Donovan	26 Broadway	Suite 711	New York	NY	10004		212-344-2929	<a href="mailto:tdonovan@finkgold.com">tdonovan@finkgold.com</a>	Counsel to Pillarhouse (U.S.A.) Inc.
Foley & Lardner LLP	Ann Marie Uetz	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	<a href="mailto:auetz@foley.com">auetz@foley.com</a>	Counsel to PBR Tennessee
Foley & Lardner LLP	Jill L. Murch	321 North Clark Street	Suite 2800	Chicago	IL	60610-4764		312-832-4500	<a href="mailto:jmurch@foley.com">jmurch@foley.com</a>	Counsel to Kuss Corporation
			500 Woodward Ave							
Foley & Lardner LLP	John A. Simon	One Detroit Center	Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	<a href="mailto:jsimon@foley.com">jsimon@foley.com</a>	Counsel to Ernst & Young LLP
	John R. Trentacosta									
Foley & Lardner LLP	Katherine R. Catanese	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	<a href="mailto:ktrentacosta@foley.com">ktrentacosta@foley.com</a>	Counsel to Kautex Inc.
									<a href="mailto:kcatanese@foley.com">kcatanese@foley.com</a>	
Fox Rothschild LLP	Brian Isen	1301 Atlantic Avenue		Atlantic City	NJ	08401		609-348-2294	<a href="mailto:bisen@foxrothschild.com">bisen@foxrothschild.com</a>	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products L.P.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Fred Stevens	100 Park Avenue	15th Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-878-7900	<a href="mailto:fstevens@foxrothschild.com">fstevens@foxrothschild.com</a>	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Michael J. Viscount, Jr.	1301 Atlantic Avenue	Suite 400	Atlantic City	NJ	08401-7212		609-348-4515	<a href="mailto:mviscount@foxrothschild.com">mviscount@foxrothschild.com</a>	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Frederick T. Ridders		419 Venture Court	P.O. Box 930555	Verona	WI	53593		608-848-6350	<a href="mailto:fridders@ridderslaw.com">fridders@ridderslaw.com</a>	Counsel to Southwest Metal Finishing, Inc.
Frost Brown Todd LLC	Ronald E. Gold	2200 PNC Center	201 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202-4182		513-651-6156	<a href="mailto:rgold@fbtlaw.com">rgold@fbtlaw.com</a>	Counsel to AKS Receivables, LLC
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	David A. Rosenzweig	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103-3198		212-318-3000	<a href="mailto:drosenzweig@fulbright.com">drosenzweig@fulbright.com</a>	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	Michael M. Parker	300 Convent St Ste 2200		San Antonio	TX	78205		210-224-5575	<a href="mailto:mparker@fulbright.com">mparker@fulbright.com</a>	Attorney for Solvay Fluorides, LLC
Genovese Joblove & Battista, P.A.	David C. Cimo	100 S.E. 2nd Street	Suite 4400	Miami	FL	33131		305-349-2300	<a href="mailto:dcimo@gib-law.com">dcimo@gib-law.com</a>	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute
Gibbons P.C.	David N. Crapo	One Gateway Center		Newark	NJ	07102-5310		973-596-4523	<a href="mailto:dcrapo@gibbonslaw.com">dcrapo@gibbonslaw.com</a>	Counsel to Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc.
Goldberg Segalla LLP	Attn Bruce W Hoover	665 Main St Ste 400		Buffalo	NY	14203		716-566-5400	<a href="mailto:bhoover@goldbergsegalla.com">bhoover@goldbergsegalla.com</a>	
Gorlick, Kravitz & Listhaus, P.C.	Barbara S. Mehlsack	17 State Street	4th Floor	New York	NY	10004		212-269-2500	<a href="mailto:bmehlsack@gklaw.com">bmehlsack@gklaw.com</a>	Attorneys for MasTec Inc. Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Goulston & Storrs, P.C.	Peter D. Bilowz	400 Atlantic Avenue		Boston	MA	02110-333		617-482-1776	<a href="mailto:pbilowz@goulstonstorrs.com">pbilowz@goulstonstorrs.com</a>	Counsel to Thermotech Company
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	James J Sabella	485 Lexington Ave		New York	NY	10017		646-722-8520	<a href="mailto:jsabella@gelaw.com">jsabella@gelaw.com</a>	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Jay W. Eisenhofer	45 Rockefeller Center	650 Fifth Avenue	New York	NY	10111		212-755-6501	<a href="mailto:jeisenhofer@gelaw.com">jeisenhofer@gelaw.com</a>	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Matthew R. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	<a href="mailto:mrr@previant.com">mrr@previant.com</a>	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP	J. Michael Debbler, Susan M. Argo	1900 Fifth Third Center	511 Walnut Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-621-6464	<a href="mailto:mdebblor@graydon.com">mdebblor@graydon.com</a>	Counsel to Grote Industries; Batesville Tool & Die; PIA Group; Reliable Castings
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Maria J. DiConza	MetLife Bldg	200 Park Avenue	New York	NY	10166		212-801-9200	<a href="mailto:diconzam@gtlaw.com">diconzam@gtlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Samtech Corporation
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Shari L. Heyen	1000 Louisiana	Suite 1800	Houston	TX	77002		713-374-3500	<a href="mailto:heyens@gtlaw.com">heyens@gtlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Samtech Corporation
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C.	Cherie Macdonald J. Patrick Bradley	10 S. Broadway	Suite 200	St. Louis	MO	63102		314-241-9090	<a href="mailto:ckm@greensfelder.com">ckm@greensfelder.com</a> <a href="mailto:jpb@greensfelder.com">jpb@greensfelder.com</a>	Counsel to ARC Automotive, Inc.
Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP	Lawrence E Oscar Christopher W Peer	200 Public Square	Suite 2800	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-621-0150	<a href="mailto:leoscar@hahnlaw.com">leoscar@hahnlaw.com</a> <a href="mailto:cpeer@hahnlaw.com">cpeer@hahnlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Casco Products, a Unit of Sequa Corporation and ARC Automotive, Inc.
Halperin Battaglia Raicht, LLP	Alan D. Halperin Christopher J. Battaglia Julie D. Dyas	555 Madison Avenue	9th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-765-9100	<a href="mailto:cbattaglia@halperinlaw.net">cbattaglia@halperinlaw.net</a> <a href="mailto:ahalperin@halperinlaw.net">ahalperin@halperinlaw.net</a> <a href="mailto:jdias@halperinlaw.net">jdias@halperinlaw.net</a>	Counsel to Pacific Gas Turbine Center, LLC and Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corporation; ARC Automotive, Inc
Hancock & Estabrook LLP	R John Clark Esq	1500 Tower I	PO Box 4976	Syracuse	NY	13221-4976		315-471-3151	<a href="mailto:rjclark@hancocklaw.com">rjclark@hancocklaw.com</a>	Counsel to Alliance Precision Plastics Corporation
Harrington, Dragich & O'Neill PLLC	David G Dragich	21043 Mack Avenue		Grosse Pointe Woods	MI	48236		313-886-4550	<a href="mailto:ddragich@hdolaw.com">ddragich@hdolaw.com</a>	Counsel to Internet Corporation
Harris D. Leinwand	Harris D. Leinwand	315 Madison Avenue	Suite 901	New York	NY	10017		212-725-7338	<a href="mailto:hleinwand@aol.com">hleinwand@aol.com</a>	Counsel to Baker Hughes Incorporated; Baker Petrolite Corporation
Haskell Slaughter Young & Rediker LLC	Robert H. Adams	2001 Park Place North	Suite 1400	Birmingham	AL	35203		205-251-1000	<a href="mailto:rha@hsy.com">rha@hsy.com</a>	Counsel to Simco Construction, Inc.
Haynes and Boone, LLP	Judith Elkin	153 East 53rd Street	Suite 4900	New York	NY	10022		212-659-7300	<a href="mailto:judith.elkin@haynesboone.com">judith.elkin@haynesboone.com</a>	Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Haynes and Boone, LLP	Lenard M. Parkins Kenric D. Kattner	1 Houston Center	1221 McKinney, Suite 2100	Houston	TX	77010		713-547-2000	<a href="mailto:lenard.parkins@haynesboone.com">lenard.parkins@haynesboone.com</a> <a href="mailto:kenric.kattner@haynesboone.com">kenric.kattner@haynesboone.com</a>	Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Herrick, Feinstein LLP	Paul Rubin	2 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-592-1448	<a href="mailto:prubin@herrick.com">prubin@herrick.com</a>	Counsel to Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Schmidt Technology GmbH

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Hewlett-Packard Company	Kenneth F. Higman	2125 E. Katella Avenue	Suite 400	Anaheim	CA	92806		714-940-7120	<a href="mailto:ken.higman@hp.com">ken.higman@hp.com</a>	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Ramona S. Neal	11311 Chinden Blvd., M/S 314		Boise	ID	83714-0021		208-396-6484	<a href="mailto:Ramona.neal@hp.com">Ramona.neal@hp.com</a>	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Sharon Petrosino	420 Mountain Avenue		Murray Hill	NJ	07974		908-898-4760	<a href="mailto:sharon.petrosino@hp.com">sharon.petrosino@hp.com</a>	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Hinckley Allen & Snyder LLP	Michael J Pendell	185 Asylum St CityPlace I	35th Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3488		860-725-6200	<a href="mailto:mpendell@haslaw.com">mpendell@haslaw.com</a>	Counsel to Barnes Group, Inc.
Hiscock & Barclay, LLP	J. Eric Charlton	300 South Salina Street	PO Box 4878	Syracuse	NY	13221-4878		315-425-2716	<a href="mailto:echarlton@hiscockbarclay.com">echarlton@hiscockbarclay.com</a>	Counsel to GW Plastics, Inc.
Hodgson Russ LLP	Garry M. Graber	60 E 42nd St 37th Fl		New York	NY	10165-0150		212-661-3535	<a href="mailto:ggrab@hodgsonruss.com">ggrab@hodgsonruss.com</a>	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Hodgson Russ LLP	Julia S. Kreher	One M&T Plaza	Suite 2000	Buffalo	NY	14203		716-848-1330	<a href="mailto:jkreher@hodgsonruss.com">jkreher@hodgsonruss.com</a>	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Audrey Moog	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	<a href="mailto:amoog@hhlaw.com">amoog@hhlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Edward C. Dolan	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	<a href="mailto:ecdolan@hhlaw.com">ecdolan@hhlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Scott A. Golden	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	<a href="mailto:sagolden@hhlaw.com">sagolden@hhlaw.com</a>	Counsel to XM Satellite Radio Inc.
Hogan Lovells US LLP	Matthew P Morris	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	<a href="mailto:matthew.morris@hoganlovells.com">matthew.morris@hoganlovells.com</a>	Counsel to TESA AG
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Donald T. Baty, Jr.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7314	<a href="mailto:dbaty@honigman.com">dbaty@honigman.com</a>	Counsel to Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	E. Todd Sable	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7548	<a href="mailto:tsable@honigman.com">tsable@honigman.com</a>	Counsel to Valeo Climate Control Corp.; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Motors and Actuators Division; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Wipers Division; Valeo Switches & Detection System, Inc.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	I. W. Winsten, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7608	<a href="mailto:iww@honigman.com">iww@honigman.com</a>	Counsel to Affina Group Holdings Inc.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Lawrence J. Murphy	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Ave	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7488	<a href="mailto:lmurphy@honigman.com">lmurphy@honigman.com</a>	Attorneys for Guide Corporation and Lightsources Parent Corporation
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Seth A Drucker	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue Ste 2290	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7626	<a href="mailto:sdrucker@honigman.com">sdrucker@honigman.com</a>	Counsel for Valeo Climate Control, Corp.
Howard & Howard Attorneys PC	Lisa S Gretchko	39400 Woodward Ave	Ste 101	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304-5151		248-723-0396	<a href="mailto:lgretchko@howardandhoward.com">lgretchko@howardandhoward.com</a>	Intellectual Property Counsel for Delphi Corporation, et al.
Howick, Westfall, McBryan & Kaplan, LLP	Louis G. McBryan	3101 Tower Creek Parkway	Ste 600 One Tower Creek	Atlanta	GA	30339		678-384-7000	<a href="mailto:lmc Bryan@hwmklaw.com">lmc Bryan@hwmklaw.com</a>	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	John J. Hunter	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	<a href="mailto:jrhunter@hunterschank.com">jrhunter@hunterschank.com</a>	Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	Thomas J. Schank	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	<a href="mailto:tomschank@hunterschank.com">tomschank@hunterschank.com</a>	Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.



COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Hunton & Williams LLP	Steven T. Holmes	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	<a href="mailto:sholmes@hunton.com">sholmes@hunton.com</a>	Counsel to RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hurwitz & Fine P.C.	Ann E. Evanko	1300 Liberty Building		Buffalo	NY	14202		716-849-8900	<a href="mailto:ae@hurwitzfine.com">ae@hurwitzfine.com</a>	Counsel to Jiffy-Tite Co., Inc.
Ice Miller	Ben T. Caughey	One American Square	Box 82001	Indianapolis	IN	46282-0200		317-236-2100	<a href="mailto:Ben.Caughey@icemiller.com">Ben.Caughey@icemiller.com</a>	Counsel to Sumco, Inc.
Ice Miller LLP	Henry A. Efroymsen	One American Square	29th Floor	Indianapolis	IN	46482		317-236-2397	<a href="mailto:henry.efroymsen@icemiller.com">henry.efroymsen@icemiller.com</a>	Counsel to Fin Machine Co. Ltd
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Greg Bibbes	1730 North First Street	M/S 11305	San Jose	CA	95112		408-501-6442	<a href="mailto:greg.bibbes@infineon.com">greg.bibbes@infineon.com</a>	General Counsel & Vice President for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Jeff Gillespie	2529 Commerce Drive	Suite H	Kokomo	IN	46902		765-454-2146	<a href="mailto:jeffery.gillispie@infineon.com">jeffery.gillispie@infineon.com</a>	Global Account Manager for Infineon Technologies North America
International Union of Operating Engineers	Richard Griffin	1125-17th Avenue, N.W.		Washington	DC	20036		202-429-9100	<a href="mailto:rgriffin@iuoe.org">rgriffin@iuoe.org</a>	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832
Jackson Walker LLP	Bruce J. Ruzinsky	1401 McKinney St Ste 1900		Houston	TX	77010		713-751-4200	<a href="mailto:bruzinsky@jw.com">bruzinsky@jw.com</a>	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
Jackson Walker LLP	Heather M. Forrest	901 Main St Ste 600		Dallas	TX	75202		214-953-6000	<a href="mailto:hforrest@jw.com">hforrest@jw.com</a>	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
James R Scheuerle	Parmenter O'Toole	601 Terrace Street	PO Box 786	Muskegon	MI	49443-0786		231-722-1621	<a href="mailto:JRS@Parmenterlaw.com">JRS@Parmenterlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Port City Die Cast and Port City Group Inc
Jason, Inc.	Will Schultz, General Counsel	411 E. Wisconsin Ave	Suite 2120	Milwaukee	WI	53202		414-277-2110	<a href="mailto:wschultz@jasoninc.com">wschultz@jasoninc.com</a>	General Counsel to Jason Incorporated
Jenner & Block LLP	Ronald R. Peterson	One IBM Plaza		Chicago	IL	60611		312-222-9350	<a href="mailto:rpeterson@jenner.com">rpeterson@jenner.com</a>	Counsel to SPX Corporation (Contech Division), Alcan Rolled Products-Ravenswood, LLC, Tenneco Inc. and Contech LLC
Johnston, Harris Gerde & Komarek, P.A.	Jerry W. Gerde, Esq.	239 E. 4th St.		Panama City	FL	32401		850-763-8421	<a href="mailto:gerdekomarek@bellsouth.net">gerdekomarek@bellsouth.net</a>	Counsel to Peggy C. Brannon, Bay County Tax Collector
Jones Day	Corinne Ball	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-7844	<a href="mailto:cball@jonesday.com">cball@jonesday.com</a>	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Jones Day	Peter J. Benvenuti Michaeline H. Correa	555 California St 26th Floor		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-626-3939	<a href="mailto:pibenvenuti@jonesday.com">pibenvenuti@jonesday.com</a> <a href="mailto:mcorrea@jonesday.com">mcorrea@jonesday.com</a>	Attorneys for Symantec Corporation, Successor-in-Interest to Veritas Corporation
Jones Day	Scott J. Friedman	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-3939	<a href="mailto:sfriedman@jonesday.com">sfriedman@jonesday.com</a>	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	John P. Sieger, Esq.	525 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60661		312-902-5200	<a href="mailto:john.sieger@kattenlaw.com">john.sieger@kattenlaw.com</a>	Counsel to TDK Corporation America and MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc.
Kaye Scholer LLP	Richard G Smolev	425 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10022-3598		212-236-8000	<a href="mailto:rsmolev@kayescholer.com">rsmolev@kayescholer.com</a>	Counsel to InPlay Technologies Inc
Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter Co., LPA	Kenneth R. Cookson	65 East State Street	Suite 1800	Columbus	OH	43215		614-426-5400	<a href="mailto:kcookson@keglerbrown.com">kcookson@keglerbrown.com</a>	Counsel to Solution Recovery Services



COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Keller Rohrback L.L.P.	Lynn Lincoln Sarko Cari Campen Laufenberg Erin M. Riley	1201 Third Avenue	Suite 3200	Seattle	WA	98101		206-623-1900	<a href="mailto:lsarko@kellerrohrback.com">lsarko@kellerrohrback.com</a> <a href="mailto:claufenberg@kellerrohrback.com">claufenberg@kellerrohrback.com</a> <a href="mailto:om">om</a> <a href="mailto:eriley@kellerrohrback.com">eriley@kellerrohrback.com</a>	Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Keller Rohrback P.L.C.	Gary A. Gotto	National Bank Plaza	3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 900	Phoenix	AZ	85012		602-248-0088	<a href="mailto:ggotto@kellerrohrback.com">ggotto@kellerrohrback.com</a>	Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Craig A. Wolfe	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	<a href="mailto:cwolfe@kelleydrye.com">cwolfe@kelleydrye.com</a>	Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Merrill B. Stone	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	<a href="mailto:mstone@kelleydrye.com">mstone@kelleydrye.com</a>	Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Susan M. Jennik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	<a href="mailto:sjennik@kjmlabor.com">sjennik@kjmlabor.com</a>	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Thomas Kennedy	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	<a href="mailto:tkennedy@kjmlabor.com">tkennedy@kjmlabor.com</a>	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kerr Russell & Weber PLC	James E. DeLine	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-961-0200	<a href="mailto:jed@krwlaw.com">jed@krwlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Pontiac Coil, Inc.
Kerr Russell & Weber PLC	Patrick Warren Hunt	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-961-0200	<a href="mailto:pwh@krwlaw.com">pwh@krwlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Pontiac Coil, Inc.
King & Spalding, LLP	Daniel Egan	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	<a href="mailto:degan@kslaw.com">degan@kslaw.com</a>	Counsel to KPMG LLP
King & Spalding, LLP	H. Slayton Dabney, Jr.	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	<a href="mailto:sdabney@kslaw.com">sdabney@kslaw.com</a>	Counsel to KPMG LLP
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	David Spiegel	300 North LaSalle		Chicago	IL	60654		312-862-2000	<a href="mailto:david.spiegel@kirkland.com">david.spiegel@kirkland.com</a>	
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Jim Stempel	200 East Randolph Drive		Chicago	IL	60601		312-861-2000	<a href="mailto:jstempel@kirkland.com">jstempel@kirkland.com</a>	Counsel to Lunt Manufacturing Company
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham LLP	Edward M. Fox	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-536-4812	<a href="mailto:efox@kling.com">efox@kling.com</a>	Counsel to Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture trustee
Kokomo Gas & Fuel Company	Patti E Pope Revenue Recovery Manager	Northern Indiana Public Service Company	801 East 86th Avenue	Merrillville	IN	46410			<a href="mailto:pepope@nisource.com">pepope@nisource.com</a>	Kokomo Gas & Fuel Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Jordan D Kaye	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-715-9489	<a href="mailto:jkaye@kramerlevin.com">jkaye@kramerlevin.com</a>	Counsel to HP Enterprise Services, LLC; Vishay Americas Inc.
Krugliak, Wilkins, Griffiths & Dougherty CO., L.P.A.	Sam O. Simmerman	4775 Munson Street N.W.	P.O. Box 36963	Canton	OH	44735-6963		330-497-0700	<a href="mailto:sosimmerman@kwgd.com">sosimmerman@kwgd.com</a>	Counsel to for Millwood, Inc.
Kutak Rock LLP	Jay Selanders	1010 Grand Blvd Ste 500		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-502-4617	<a href="mailto:jay.selanders@kutakrock.com">jay.selanders@kutakrock.com</a>	Counsel to DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Edward D. Kutchin	Two Center Plaza	Suite 620	Boston	MA	02108-1906		617-542-3000	<a href="mailto:ekutchin@kutchinrufo.com">ekutchin@kutchinrufo.com</a>	Counsel to Parlex Corporation
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Kerry R. Northrup	Two Center Plaza	Suite 620	Boston	MA	02108-1906		617-542-3000	<a href="mailto:knorthup@kutchinrufo.com">knorthup@kutchinrufo.com</a>	Counsel to Parlex Corporation
Lambert. Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Adam D. Bruski	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518	<a href="mailto:adbruski@lambertleser.com">adbruski@lambertleser.com</a>	Counsel to Creditor Linamar Corp.
Lambert. Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Susan M. Cook	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518	<a href="mailto:smcook@lambertleser.com">smcook@lambertleser.com</a>	Counsel to Linamar Corporation
Latham & Watkins	Mark A. Broude	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1384	<a href="mailto:mark.broude@lw.com">mark.broude@lw.com</a>	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Michael J. Riela	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	<a href="mailto:michael.riela@lw.com">michael.riela@lw.com</a>	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mitchell A. Seider	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	<a href="mailto:mitchell.seider@lw.com">mitchell.seider@lw.com</a>	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Robert Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1370	<a href="mailto:robert.rosenberg@lw.com">robert.rosenberg@lw.com</a>	UCC Professional
Law Offices of Michael O'Hayer	Michael O'Hayer Esq	22 N Walnut Street		West Chester	PA	19380		610-738-1230	<a href="mailto:mkohayer@aol.com">mkohayer@aol.com</a>	Counsel to A-1 Specialized Services and Supplies Inc
Lewis and Roca LLP	Rob Charles, Esq.	One South Church Street	Suite 700	Tucson	AZ	85701		520-629-4427	<a href="mailto:rcharles@lrlaw.com">rcharles@lrlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Lewis and Roca LLP	Susan M. Freeman, Esq.	40 North Central Avenue	Suite 1900	Phoenix	AZ	85004-4429		602-262-5756	<a href="mailto:sfreeman@lrlaw.com">sfreeman@lrlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Linear Technology Corporation	John England, Esq.	General Counsel for Linear Technology Corporation	1630 McCarthy Blvd.	Milpitas	CA	95035-7417		408-432-1900	<a href="mailto:jengland@linear.com">jengland@linear.com</a>	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Diane W. Sanders	1949 South IH 35 (78741)	P.O. Box 17428	Austin	TX	78760-7428		512-447-6675	<a href="mailto:austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com">austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com</a>	Counsel to Cameron County, Brownsville ISD
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Elizabeth Weller	2323 Bryan Street	Suite 1600	Dallas	TX	75201		214-880-0089	<a href="mailto:dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com">dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com</a>	Counsel to Dallas County and Tarrant County
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	John P. Dillman	P.O. Box 3064		Houston	TX	77253-3064		713-844-3478	<a href="mailto:houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com">houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com</a>	Counsel in Charge for Taxing Authorities: Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District, City of Houston, Harris County
Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell	Kevin J. Walsh	885 Third Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10022-4802		212-812-8304	<a href="mailto:kwash@lockelord.com">kwash@lockelord.com</a>	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc.
Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell	Timothy S. McFadden	111 South Wacker Drive		Chicago	IL	60606		312-443-0370	<a href="mailto:tmcfadden@lockelord.com">tmcfadden@lockelord.com</a>	Counsel to Methode Electronics, Inc.
Loeb & Loeb LLP	P. Gregory Schwed	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154-0037		212-407-4000	<a href="mailto:gschwed@loeb.com">gschwed@loeb.com</a>	Counsel to Creditor The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. and Proposed Auditor Deloitte & Touche, LLP

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Loeb & Loeb LLP	William M. Hawkins	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154		212-407-4000	<a href="mailto:whawkins@loeb.com">whawkins@loeb.com</a>	Counsel to Industrial Ceramics Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Bruce S. Nathan	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	<a href="mailto:bnathan@lowenstein.com">bnathan@lowenstein.com</a>	Counsel to Daewoo International (America) Corp.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Ira M. Levee	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	<a href="mailto:ilevee@lowenstein.com">ilevee@lowenstein.com</a>	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Kenneth A. Rosen	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	<a href="mailto:krosen@lowenstein.com">krosen@lowenstein.com</a>	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Michael S. Etikin	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	<a href="mailto:metkin@lowenstein.com">metkin@lowenstein.com</a>	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Scott Cargill	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	<a href="mailto:scargill@lowenstein.com">scargill@lowenstein.com</a>	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.; AT&T Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Vincent A. D'Agostino	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	<a href="mailto:vdagostino@lowenstein.com">vdagostino@lowenstein.com</a>	Counsel to AT&T Corporation
Lyden, Liebenthal & Chappell, Ltd.	Erik G. Chappell	5565 Airport Highway	Suite 101	Toledo	OH	43615		419-867-8900	<a href="mailto:egc@lydenlaw.com">egc@lydenlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Metro Fibres, Inc.
Maddin, Hauser, Wartell, Roth & Heller PC	Alexander Stotland Esq	28400 Northwestern Hwy	Third Floor	Southfield	MI	48034		248-354-4030	<a href="mailto:axs@maddinhauser.com">axs@maddinhauser.com</a>	Attorney for Danice Manufacturing Co.
Madison Capital Management	Joe Landen	6143 South Willow Drive	Suite 200	Greenwood Village	CO	80111		303-957-4254	<a href="mailto:jlanden@madisoncap.com">jlanden@madisoncap.com</a>	Representative for Madison Capital Management
Margulies & Levinson, LLP	Leah M. Caplan, Esq.	30100 Chagrin Boulevard	Suite 250	Pepper Pike	OH	44124		216-514-4935	<a href="mailto:lmc@ml-legal.com">lmc@ml-legal.com</a>	Counsel to Venture Plastics
Mastromarco & Jahn, P.C.	Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr.	1024 North Michigan Avenue	P.O. Box 3197	Saginaw	MI	48605-3197		989-752-1414	<a href="mailto:vmastromar@aol.com">vmastromar@aol.com</a>	Counsel to H.E. Services Company and Robert Backie and Counsel to Cindy Palmer, Personal Representative to the Estate of Michael Palmer
Masuda Funai Eifert & Mitchell, Ltd.	Gary D. Santella	203 North LaSalle Street	Suite 2500	Chicago	IL	60601-1262		312-245-7500	<a href="mailto:gsantella@masudafunai.com">gsantella@masudafunai.com</a>	Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC and Hosiden America Corporation
McCarter & English, LLP	David J. Adler, Jr. Esq.	245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor		New York	NY	10167		212-609-6800	<a href="mailto:dadler@mccarter.com">dadler@mccarter.com</a>	Counsel to Ward Products, LLC
McCarter & English, LLP	Eduardo J. Glas, Esq.	Four Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4096		913-622-4444	<a href="mailto:eglas@mccarter.com">eglas@mccarter.com</a>	Counsel to General Products Delaware Corporation
McCarthy Tetrault LLP	Lorne P. Salzman	66 Wellington Street West	Suite 4700	Toronto	Ontario	M5K 1E6		416-362-1812	<a href="mailto:lsalzman@mccarthy.ca">lsalzman@mccarthy.ca</a>	Counsel to Themselves (McCarthy Tetrault LLP)
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Gary O. Ravert	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017-1922		212-547-5477	<a href="mailto:gravert@mwe.com">gravert@mwe.com</a>	Counsel for Temic Automotive of North America, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	James M. Sullivan	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5477	<a href="mailto:jmsullivan@mwe.com">jmsullivan@mwe.com</a>	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation, National Semiconductor Corporation; Timken Corporation
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Stephen B. Selbst	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5400	<a href="mailto:sselbst@mwe.com">sselbst@mwe.com</a>	Counsel to National Semiconductor Corporation
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Steven P. Handler Monica M. Quinn	227 W Monroe St		Chicago	IL	60606		312-372-2000	<a href="mailto:shandler@mwe.com">shandler@mwe.com</a> <a href="mailto:mquinn@mwe.com">mquinn@mwe.com</a>	Counsel for Temic Automotive of North America, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Scott N. Opincar, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	<a href="mailto:sopincar@mcdonaldhopkins.com">sopincar@mcdonaldhopkins.com</a>	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Shawn M. Riley, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	<a href="mailto:sriley@mcdonaldhopkins.com">sriley@mcdonaldhopkins.com</a>	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP	Jeffrey Bernstein, Esq.	Three Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4079		973-622-7711	<a href="mailto:ibernstein@mdmc-law.com">ibernstein@mdmc-law.com</a>	Counsel to New Jersey Self-Insurers Guaranty Association
McGuirewoods LLP	Aaron G McCollough Esq	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1000	<a href="mailto:amccollough@mcquirewoods.com">amccollough@mcquirewoods.com</a>	Counsel to Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc.
McGuirewoods LLP	Daniel F Blanks	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219		804-775-1000	<a href="mailto:dblanksmcquirewoods.com">dblanksmcquirewoods.com</a>	Counsel for CSX Transportation, Inc.
McGuirewoods LLP	John H Maddock III	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1178	<a href="mailto:jmaddock@mcquirewoods.com">jmaddock@mcquirewoods.com</a>	Counsel to Siemens Logistics Assembly Systems, Inc.; Counsel for CSX Transportation, Inc.
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Attn Thomas R Slome Esq	990 Stewart Ave Ste 300	PO Box 9194	Garden City	NY	11530-9194		516-741-6565	<a href="mailto:tslome@msek.com">tslome@msek.com</a>	Counsel for Pamela Geller; JAE Electronics, Inc.
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Hanan Kolko	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	<a href="mailto:hkolko@msek.com">hkolko@msek.com</a>	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Meyers Law Group, P.C.	Merle C. Meyers	44 Montgomery Street	Suite 1010	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-362-7500	<a href="mailto:mmeyers@mlg-pc.com">mmeyers@mlg-pc.com</a>	Counsel to Alps Automotive, Inc.
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	M. Evan Meyers	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800	<a href="mailto:emeyers@mrllaw.net">emeyers@mrllaw.net</a>	Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	Robert H. Rosenbaum	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800	<a href="mailto:rosenbaum@mrllaw.net">rosenbaum@mrllaw.net</a>	Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland
Miami-Dade County Tax Collector	April Burch	Paralegal Unit	140 West Flagler St Ste 1403	Miami	FL	33130		305-375-5314	<a href="mailto:mdtcbkc@miamidade.gov">mdtcbkc@miamidade.gov</a>	Paralegal Collection Specialist for Miami-Dade County
Michael Cox		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140	<a href="mailto:miaq@michigan.gov">miaq@michigan.gov</a>	Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Dennis J. Raterink	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1176	<a href="mailto:raterink@michigan.gov">raterink@michigan.gov</a>	Assistant Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency; Attorney for the Funds Administration for the State of Michigan
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Michael Cox	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1820	<a href="mailto:miaq@michigan.gov">miaq@michigan.gov</a>	Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency; Attorney for the Funds Administration for the State of Michigan

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Thomas D. Renda	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202		410-385-3418	<a href="mailto:trenda@milesstockbridge.com">trenda@milesstockbridge.com</a>	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Almunim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Miller & Martin PLLC	Dale Allen	150 Fourth Ave North	Ste 1200	Nashville	TN	37219			<a href="mailto:vjones@millermartin.com">vjones@millermartin.com</a>	Counsel to Averitt Express
Miller Johnson	Thomas P. Sarb	250 Monroe Avenue, N.W.	Suite 800, PO Box 306	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0306		616-831-1748	<a href="mailto:sarbt@millerjohnson.com">sarbt@millerjohnson.com</a>	Counsel to Pridgeon & Clay, Inc.
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Robert D. Wolford							616-831-1726	<a href="mailto:wolfordr@millerjohnson.com">wolfordr@millerjohnson.com</a>	Counsel to Wells Operating Partnership, LP
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Jonathan S. Green	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8452	<a href="mailto:greenj@millercanfield.com">greenj@millercanfield.com</a>	Counsel to Brose North America Holding LP and its affiliates
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Marc N. Swanson	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-963-6420	<a href="mailto:swansonm@millercanfield.com">swansonm@millercanfield.com</a>	Counsel to Niles USA Inc.; Techcentral, LLC; The Bartech Group, Inc.; Fischer Automotive Systems
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Timothy A. Fusco	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8435	<a href="mailto:fusco@millercanfield.com">fusco@millercanfield.com</a>	Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Pepco, P.C.	Paul J. Ricotta	One Financial Center		Boston	MA	02111		617-542-6000	<a href="mailto:piricotta@mintz.com">piricotta@mintz.com</a> <a href="mailto:pricotta@mintz.com">pricotta@mintz.com</a>	Counsel to Molex Connector Corp
Molex Connector Corp	Jeff Ott	2222 Wellington Ct.		Lisle	IL	60532		630-527-4254	<a href="mailto:Jeff.Ott@molex.com">Jeff.Ott@molex.com</a>	Counsel to ITT Industries, Inc.; Hitachi Chemical (Singapore), Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Andrew D. Gottfried	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0060		212-309-6000	<a href="mailto:agottfried@morganlewis.com">agottfried@morganlewis.com</a>	Counsel to Hitachi Chemical (Singapore) Pte, Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Menachem O. Zelmanovitz	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-309-6000	<a href="mailto:mzelmanovitz@morganlewis.com">mzelmanovitz@morganlewis.com</a>	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Richard W. Esterkin, Esq.	300 South Grand Avenue		Los Angeles	CA	90017		213-612-1163	<a href="mailto:resterkin@morganlewis.com">resterkin@morganlewis.com</a>	Counsel to Standard Microsystems Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries Oasis SiliconSystems AG and SMSC NA Automotive, LLC (successor-in-interest to Oasis Silicon Systems, Inc.)
Moritt Hock Hamroff & Horowitz LLP	Leslie Ann Berkoff	400 Garden City Plaza		Garden City	NY	11530		516-873-2000	<a href="mailto:lberkoff@morithhock.com">lberkoff@morithhock.com</a>	Counsel to Texas Instruments Incorporated
Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C.	Raymond J. Urbanik, Esq., Joseph J. Wielebinski, Esq. and Davor Rukavina, Esq.	3800 Lincoln Plaza	500 North Akard Street	Dallas	RX	75201-6659		214-855-7590 214-855-7561 214-855-7587	<a href="mailto:rurbanik@munsch.com">rurbanik@munsch.com</a> <a href="mailto:jwielebinski@munsch.com">jwielebinski@munsch.com</a> <a href="mailto:drukavina@munsch.com">drukavina@munsch.com</a>	Counsel to Lankfer Diversified Industries, Inc.
Nantz, Litowich, Smith, Girard & Hamilton, P.C.	Sandra S. Hamilton	2025 East Beltline, S.E.	Suite 600	Grand Rapids	MI	49546		616-977-0077	<a href="mailto:sandy@nlsq.com">sandy@nlsq.com</a>	

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C.	Kenneth A. Nathan	29100 Northwestern Highway	Suite 260	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-0099	<a href="mailto:Knathan@nathanneuman.com">Knathan@nathanneuman.com</a>	Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
National City Commercial Capital	Lisa M. Moore	995 Dalton Avenue		Cincinnati	OH	45203		513-455-2390	<a href="mailto:lmoore@pnc.com">lmoore@pnc.com</a>	Vice President and Senior Counsel to National City Commercial Capital
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough	George B. Cauthen	1320 Main Street, 17th Floor	PO Box 11070	Columbia	SC	29201		803-7255-9425	<a href="mailto:george.cauthen@nelsonmullins.com">george.cauthen@nelsonmullins.com</a>	Counsel to Datwyler Rubber & Plastics, Inc.; Datwyler, Inc.; Datwyler i/o devices (Americas), Inc.; Rothrist Tube (USA), Inc.
New Jersey Attorney General's Office Division of Law	Tracy E Richardson Deputy Attorney General	R.J. Hughes Justice Complex	25 Market St P.O. Box 106	Trenton	NJ	08628-0106		609-292-1537	<a href="mailto:tracy.richardson@dol.lps.state.nj.us">tracy.richardson@dol.lps.state.nj.us</a>	Deputy Attorney General - State of New Jersey Division of Taxation
North Point	David G. Heiman	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114		216-586-3939	<a href="mailto:dgheiman@jonesday.com">dgheiman@jonesday.com</a>	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee Office of the Texas Attorney General	Camille Hope Jay W. Hurst	P.O. Box 954 P.O. Box 12548		Macon Austin	GA TX	31202 78711-2548		478-742-8706 512-475-4861	<a href="mailto:cahope@chapter13macon.com">cahope@chapter13macon.com</a> <a href="mailto:jay.hurst@oag.state.tx.us">jay.hurst@oag.state.tx.us</a>	Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee Counsel to The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency	c/o Michelle T. Sutter	Principal Assistant Attorney General Environmental Enforcement Section	30 E Broad St 25th Fl	Columbus	OH	43215		614-466-2766	<a href="mailto:msutter@ag.state.oh.us">msutter@ag.state.oh.us</a>	Attorney for State of Ohio, Environmental Protection Agency
Orbotech, Inc.	Michael M. Zizza, Legal Manager	44 Manning Road		Billerica	MA	01821		978-901-5025	<a href="mailto:michaelz@orbotech.com">michaelz@orbotech.com</a>	Company
O'Rourke Katten & Moody	Michael Moody	55 W Wacker Dr	Ste 1400	Chicago	IL	60615		312-849-2020	<a href="mailto:mmoody@orourkeandmoody.com">mmoody@orourkeandmoody.com</a>	Counsel to Ameritech Credit Corporation d/b/a SBC Capital Services
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Alyssa Englund, Esq.	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103		212-506-5187	<a href="mailto:aenglund@orrick.com">aenglund@orrick.com</a>	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Frederick D. Holden, Jr., Esq.	405 Howard Street		San Francisco	CA	94105		415-773-5700	<a href="mailto:fholden@orrick.com">fholden@orrick.com</a>	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Raniero D'Aversa, Jr.	51 West 52nd Street at 6th Avenue		New York	NY	10103-0001		212-506-3715	<a href="mailto:rdaversa@orrick.com">rdaversa@orrick.com</a>	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Michael R. Seidl	919 N. Market Street, 17th Floor	P.O. Box 8705	Wilmington	DE	19899-8705		302-652-4100	<a href="mailto:mseidl@pszilaw.com">mseidl@pszilaw.com</a>	Counsel for Essex Group, Inc.
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Robert J. Feinstein Ilan D. Scharf	780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor		New York	NY	10017-2024		212-561-7700	<a href="mailto:rfeinstein@pszilaw.com">rfeinstein@pszilaw.com</a> <a href="mailto:lscharf@pszilaw.com">lscharf@pszilaw.com</a>	Counsel for Essex Group, Inc.
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP	Daniel A. Lowenthal	1133 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-336-2720	<a href="mailto:dalowenthal@pbwt.com">dalowenthal@pbwt.com</a>	Counsel to American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP	David W. Dykhous Phyllis S. Wallitt	1133 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-6710		212-336-2000	<a href="mailto:wdykhous@pbwt.com">wdykhous@pbwt.com</a>	Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Paul H. Spaeth Co. LPA	Paul H. Spaeth	130 W Second St Ste 450		Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-1655	<a href="mailto:spaethlaw@phslaw.com">spaethlaw@phslaw.com</a>	Attorneys for F&G Multi-Slide Inc and F&G Tool & Die Co. Inc.
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Andrew N. Rosenberg	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	<a href="mailto:arosenberg@paulweiss.com">arosenberg@paulweiss.com</a>	Counsel to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Douglas R. Davis	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	<a href="mailto:ddavis@paulweiss.com">ddavis@paulweiss.com</a>	Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Elizabeth R. McColm	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	<a href="mailto:emccolm@paulweiss.com">emccolm@paulweiss.com</a>	Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Peggy Housner		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140	<a href="mailto:housnerp@michigan.gov">housnerp@michigan.gov</a>	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Penachio Malara LLP	Anne Penachio	235 Main Street	Suite 600A	White Plains	NY	10601		914-946-2889	<a href="mailto:apenachio@pmlawllp.com">apenachio@pmlawllp.com</a>	Counsel to UVA Machine Company and its successors by acquisition
Pepe & Hazard LLP	Kristin B. Mayhew	30 Jelliff Lane		Southport	CT	06890-1436		203-319-4022	<a href="mailto:kmayhew@pepehazard.com">kmayhew@pepehazard.com</a>	Counsel for Illinois Tool Works Inc., Illinois Tool Works for Hobart Brothers Co., Hobart Brothers Company, ITW Food Equipment Group LLC and Tri-Mark, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Francis J. Lawall	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	<a href="mailto:lawallf@pepperlaw.com">lawallf@pepperlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Henry Jaffe	1313 Market Street	PO Box 1709	Wilmington	DE	19899-1709		302-777-6500	<a href="mailto:jaffeh@pepperlaw.com">jaffeh@pepperlaw.com</a>	Counsel to SKF USA, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Nina M. Varughese	3000 Two Logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	<a href="mailto:varughesen@pepperlaw.com">varughesen@pepperlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Capro, Ltd; Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation; Teleflex Incorporated; Ametek; Cleo, Inc.; Sierra International, Inc.
Pickrel Shaeffer & Ebeling	Sarah B. Carter Esq	2700 Kettering Tower		Dayton	OH	45423-2700		937-223-1130	<a href="mailto:scarter@pselaw.com">scarter@pselaw.com</a>	
Pierce Atwood LLP	Jacob A. Manheimer	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	<a href="mailto:jmanheimer@pierceatwood.com">jmanheimer@pierceatwood.com</a>	Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pierce Atwood LLP	Keith J. Cunningham	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	<a href="mailto:kcunningham@pierceatwood.com">kcunningham@pierceatwood.com</a>	Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pietragallo Bosick & Gordon LLP	Richard J. Parks	54 Buhl Blvd		Sharon	PA	16146		724-981-1397	<a href="mailto:rjp@pbandg.com">rjp@pbandg.com</a>	Counsel to Ideal Tool Company, Inc.
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Karen B. Dine	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	<a href="mailto:karen.dine@pillsburylaw.com">karen.dine@pillsburylaw.com</a>	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America



COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Margot P. Erlich	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	<a href="mailto:margot.erlich@pillsburylaw.com">margot.erlich@pillsburylaw.com</a>	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Mark D. Houle	650 Town Center Drive	Ste 550	Costa Mesa	CA	92626-7122		714-436-6800	<a href="mailto:mark.houle@pillsburylaw.com">mark.houle@pillsburylaw.com</a>	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Richard L. Epling	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	<a href="mailto:richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com">richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com</a>	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Robin L. Spear	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	<a href="mailto:robin.spear@pillsburylaw.com">robin.spear@pillsburylaw.com</a>	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	Brett S. Moore, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	<a href="mailto:bsmoore@pbnlaw.com">bsmoore@pbnlaw.com</a>	
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	John S. Mairo, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	<a href="mailto:jsmairo@pbnlaw.com">jsmairo@pbnlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Neuman Aluminum Automotive, Inc. and Neuman Aluminum Impact Extrusion, Inc.
Previant, Goldberg, Uelman, Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Jill M. Hartley and Marianne G. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	<a href="mailto:jh@previant.com">jh@previant.com</a> <a href="mailto:mgr@previant.com">mgr@previant.com</a>	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
PriceWaterHouseCoopers	Enrique Bujidos	Almagro	40	Madrid		28010	Spain	34 915 684 356	<a href="mailto:enrique.bujidos@es.pwc.com">enrique.bujidos@es.pwc.com</a>	Representative to DASE
QAD, Inc.	Stephen Tyler Esq	10,000 Midlantic Drive	Suite 100 West	Mt. Laurel	NJ	08054		856-840-2870	<a href="mailto:xst@qad.com">xst@qad.com</a>	Counsel to QAD, Inc.
Quarles & Brady LLP	Kasey C. Nye	One South Church Street		Tucson	AZ	85701		520-770-8717	<a href="mailto:knye@quarles.com">knye@quarles.com</a>	Counsel to Offshore International, Inc.; Maquilas Teta Kawi, S.A. de C.V.; On Semiconductor Corporation; Flambeau Inc.
Quarles & Brady LLP	Roy Prange	33 E Main St Ste 900		Madison	WI	53703-3095		608-283-2485	<a href="mailto:rjp@quarles.com">rjp@quarles.com</a>	Counsel for Flambeau Inc.
Reed Smith	Ann Pille	10 South Wacker Drive		Chicago	IL	60606		312-207-1000	<a href="mailto:apille@reedsmith.com">apille@reedsmith.com</a>	Counsel to Infineon; Infineon Technologies
Republic Engineered Products, Inc.	Joseph A Kaczka	3770 Embassy Parkway		Akron	OH	44333		330-670-3215	<a href="mailto:jkaczka@republicengineered.com">jkaczka@republicengineered.com</a>	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
Riddell Williams P.S.	Joseph E. Shickich, Jr.	1001 4th Ave.	Suite 4500	Seattle	WA	98154-1195		206-624-3600	<a href="mailto:jshickich@riddellwilliams.com">jshickich@riddellwilliams.com</a>	Counsel to Microsoft Corporation; Microsoft Licensing, GP
Rieck and Crotty PC	Jerome F Crotty	55 West Monroe Street	Suite 3390	Chicago	IL	60603		312-726-4646	<a href="mailto:jcrotty@rieckcrotty.com">jcrotty@rieckcrotty.com</a>	Counsel to Mary P. O'Neill and Liam P. O'Neill
Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.	Charles E. Boulbol, P.C.	26 Broadway, 17th Floor		New York	NY	10004		212-825-9457	<a href="mailto:rtrack@msn.com">rtrack@msn.com</a>	Counsel to Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Christopher R. Belmonte	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	<a href="mailto:cbelmonte@ssbb.com">cbelmonte@ssbb.com</a>	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service



COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Pamela A. Bosswick	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	<a href="mailto:pbosswick@ssbb.com">pbosswick@ssbb.com</a>	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Roberto Carrillo	230 Park Avenue	Suite 1130	New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	<a href="mailto:rcarrillo@ssbb.com">rcarrillo@ssbb.com</a>	Attorney's for Tecnomec S.r.L.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Daniel Weiner	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	<a href="mailto:dweiner@schaferandweiner.com">dweiner@schaferandweiner.com</a>	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Howard Borin	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	<a href="mailto:hborin@schaferandweiner.com">hborin@schaferandweiner.com</a>	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Michael R Wernette	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	<a href="mailto:mwernette@schaferandweiner.com">mwernette@schaferandweiner.com</a>	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Ryan Heilman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	<a href="mailto:rheilman@schaferandweiner.com">rheilman@schaferandweiner.com</a>	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schiff Hardin LLP	Eugene J. Geekie, Jr.	7500 Sears Tower		Chicago	IL	60606		312-258-5635	<a href="mailto:egeekie@schiffhardin.com">egeekie@schiffhardin.com</a>	Counsel to Means Industries
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	David J. Karp	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	<a href="mailto:david.karp@srz.com">david.karp@srz.com</a>	Counsel to Parnassus Holdings II, LLC and Platinum Equity Capital Partners II, LP
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	James T. Bentley	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2273	<a href="mailto:james.bentley@srz.com">james.bentley@srz.com</a>	Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	Michael L. Cook	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	<a href="mailto:michael.cook@srz.com">michael.cook@srz.com</a>	Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America; D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Schwartz Lichtenberg LLP	Barry E Lichtenberg Esq	420 Lexington Ave Ste 2400		New York	NY	10170		212-389-7818	<a href="mailto:barryster@att.net">barryster@att.net</a>	Counsel to Marybeth Cunningham
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Paul M. Baisier, Esq.	1545 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 700	Atlanta	GA	30309-2401		404-885-1500	<a href="mailto:pbaisier@seyfarth.com">pbaisier@seyfarth.com</a>	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018-1405		212-218-5500	<a href="mailto:rdremluk@seyfarth.com">rdremluk@seyfarth.com</a>	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	William J. Hanlon	World Trade Center East	Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300	Boston	MA	02210		617-946-4800	<a href="mailto:whanlon@seyfarth.com">whanlon@seyfarth.com</a>	Counsel to le Belier/LBQ Foundry S.A. de C.V.
Shaw Gussis Fishman Glantz Wolfson & Towbin LLC	Brian L Shaw	321 N. Clark St.	Suite 800	Chicago	IL	60654		312-541-0151	<a href="mailto:bshaw100@shawgussis.com">bshaw100@shawgussis.com</a>	Counsel to ATC Logistics & Electronics, Inc.
Sheehan Phinney Bass + Green Professional Association	Bruce A. Harwood	1000 Elm Street	P.O. Box 3701	Manchester	NH	03105-3701		603-627-8139	<a href="mailto:bharwood@sheehan.com">bharwood@sheehan.com</a>	Counsel to Source Electronics, Inc.
Sheldon S. Toll PLLC	Sheldon S. Toll	2000 Town Center	Suite 2550	Southfield	MI	48075		248-358-2460	<a href="mailto:lawtoll@comcast.net">lawtoll@comcast.net</a>	Counsel to Milwaukee Investment Company
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Eric Waters	30 Rockefeller Plaza	24th Floor	New York	NY	10112		212-332-3800	<a href="mailto:ewaters@sheppardmullin.com">ewaters@sheppardmullin.com</a>	Counsel to Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Malani J. Sternstein	30 Rockefeller Plaza	24th Floor	New York	NY	10112		212-332-3800	<a href="mailto:msternstein@sheppardmullin.com">msternstein@sheppardmullin.com</a>	Counsel to International Rectifier Corp. and Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Theodore A. Cohen	333 South Hope Street	48th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-1780	<a href="mailto:tcohen@sheppardmullin.com">tcohen@sheppardmullin.com</a>	Counsel to Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Theresa Wardle	333 South Hope Street	48th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-1780	<a href="mailto:twardle@sheppardmullin.com">twardle@sheppardmullin.com</a>	Counsel to International Rectifier Corp.
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	5353 Essen Lane	Suite 650	Baton Rouge	LA	70809		225-757-2185	<a href="mailto:rthibeaux@shergarner.com">rthibeaux@shergarner.com</a>	Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	909 Poydras Street	28th Floor	New Orleans	LA	70112-1033		504-299-2100	<a href="mailto:rthibeaux@shergarner.com">rthibeaux@shergarner.com</a>	Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Shipman & Goodwin LLP	Kathleen M. LaManna	One Constitution Plaza		Hartford	CT	06103-1919		860-251-5603	<a href="mailto:bankruptcy@goodwin.com">bankruptcy@goodwin.com</a>	
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Andrew H. Sherman	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	<a href="mailto:asherman@sillscummis.com">asherman@sillscummis.com</a>	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Jack M. Zackin	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	<a href="mailto:jzackin@sillscummis.com">jzackin@sillscummis.com</a>	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Valerie A Hamilton Simon Kimmelman	650 College Rd E		Princeton	NJ	08540		609-227-4600	<a href="mailto:vhamilton@sillscummis.com">vhamilton@sillscummis.com</a> <a href="mailto:skimmelman@sillscummis.com">skimmelman@sillscummis.com</a>	Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Silver Point Capital, L.P.	Chaim J. Fortgang	Two Greenwich Plaza	1st Floor	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-542-4216	<a href="mailto:cfortgang@silverpointcapital.com">cfortgang@silverpointcapital.com</a>	Counsel to Silver Point Capital, L.P.
Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP	Kathleen M. Miller	800 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor	P.O. Box 410	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-652-8400	<a href="mailto:kmiller@skfdelaware.com">kmiller@skfdelaware.com</a>	Counsel to Airgas, Inc.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	D. Farrington Yates	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-768-6700	<a href="mailto:fyates@sonnenschein.com">fyates@sonnenschein.com</a>	Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc. and United Plastics Group
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Monika J. Machen	8000 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-8000	<a href="mailto:mmachen@sonnenschein.com">mmachen@sonnenschein.com</a>	Counsel to United Plastics Group
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Oscar N. Pinkas	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-768-6700	<a href="mailto:opinkas@sonnenschein.com">opinkas@sonnenschein.com</a>	Counsel to Schaeffler Canada, Inc. and Schaeffler KG
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Robert E. Richards	7800 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-8000	<a href="mailto:rrichards@sonnenschein.com">rrichards@sonnenschein.com</a>	Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.; Counsel to Schaeffler Canada, Inc. and Schaeffler KG
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	G. Christopher Meyer	4900 Key Tower	127 Public Sq	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-479-8692	<a href="mailto:cmeyer@ssd.com">cmeyer@ssd.com</a>	Counsel to Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.; Counsel for the City of Dayton, Ohio
State of California Office of the Attorney General	Sarah E. Morrison	Deputy Attorney General	300 South Spring Street Ste 1702	Los Angeles	CA	90013		213-897-2640	<a href="mailto:sarah.morrison@doj.ca.gov">sarah.morrison@doj.ca.gov</a>	Attorneys for the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control
State of Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency	Roland Hwang Assistant Attorney General	3030 W. Grand Boulevard	Suite 9-600	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-2210	<a href="mailto:hwangr@michigan.gov">hwangr@michigan.gov</a>	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Unemployment Tax Office of the Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency
State of Michigan Labor Division	Susan Przekop-Shaw	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909		517-373-2560	<a href="mailto:przekopshaws@michigan.gov">przekopshaws@michigan.gov</a>	Assistant Attorney General as Attorney for the Michigan Workers' Compensation Agency
Steel Technologies, Inc.	John M. Baumann	15415 Shelbyville Road		Louisville	KY	40245		502-245-0322	<a href="mailto:jmbaumann@steeltechnologies.com">jmbaumann@steeltechnologies.com</a>	Counsel to Steel Technologies, Inc.
Sterns & Weinroth, P.C.	Michael A Spero Simon Kimmelman Valerie A Hamilton	50 West State Street, Suite 1400	PO Box 1298	Trenton	NJ	08607-1298		609-392-2100	<a href="mailto:jspecf@sternslaw.com">jspecf@sternslaw.com</a>	Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Constantine D. Pourakis, Esq.	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-319-8500	<a href="mailto:cp@stevenslee.com">cp@stevenslee.com</a>	Counsel to Tonolli Canada Ltd.; VJ Technologies, Inc. and V.J. ElectroniX, Inc.
Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP	Mark A. Shaiken	1201 Walnut Street		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-842-8600	<a href="mailto:mshaiken@stinsonmoheck.com">mshaiken@stinsonmoheck.com</a>	Counsel to Thyssenkrupp Waupaca, Inc. and Thyssenkrupp Stahl Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Madison L. Cashman	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	<a href="mailto:robert.goodrich@stites.com">robert.goodrich@stites.com</a>	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Robert C. Goodrich, Jr.	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	<a href="mailto:madison.cashman@stites.com">madison.cashman@stites.com</a>	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison, PLLC	W. Robinson Beard, Esq.	400 West Market Street		Louisville	KY	40202		502-681-0448 502-587-3400	<a href="mailto:wbeard@stites.com">wbeard@stites.com</a> <a href="mailto:loucoursun@stites.com">loucoursun@stites.com</a>	Counsel to WAKO Electronics (USA), Inc., Ambrake Corporation, and Akebona Corporation (North America)
Stutman Treister & Glatt Professional Corporation	Christine M. Pajak Eric D. Goldberg Isaac M. Pachulski Esq Jeffrey H Davidson Esq	1901 Avenue of the Stars	12th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-228-5600	<a href="mailto:cpajak@stutman.com">cpajak@stutman.com</a> <a href="mailto:egoldberg@stutman.com">egoldberg@stutman.com</a> <a href="mailto:ipachulski@stutman.com">ipachulski@stutman.com</a> <a href="mailto:j davidson@stutman.com">j davidson@stutman.com</a>	Counsel to CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC, Elliot Associates, L.P., Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	Richard L. Ferrell	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202-3957		513-381-2838	<a href="mailto:ferrell@taftlaw.com">ferrell@taftlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Wren Industries, Inc.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	W Timothy Miller Esq Jay Teitelbaum Ron Baskin	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-381-2838	<a href="mailto:miller@taftlaw.com">miller@taftlaw.com</a> <a href="mailto:jteitelbaum@tblawllp.com">jteitelbaum@tblawllp.com</a> <a href="mailto:rbaskin@tblawllp.com">rbaskin@tblawllp.com</a>	Counsel to Select Industries Corporation and Gobar Systems, Inc.
Teitelbaum & Baskin LLP	Marvin E. Clements, Jr.	c/o TN Attorney General's Office, Bankruptcy Division	PO Box 20207	Nashville	TN	37202-0207		615-532-2504	<a href="mailto:agbanknewyork@ag.tn.gov">agbanknewyork@ag.tn.gov</a>	Tennessee Department of Revenue
Tennessee Department of Revenue	Jonathan D. Forstot	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7679	<a href="mailto:jforstot@tpw.com">jforstot@tpw.com</a>	Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Louis A. Curcio	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7607	<a href="mailto:jcurcio@tpw.com">jcurcio@tpw.com</a>	Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc
The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.	Mr. Tetsuhiro Niizeki	6-1 Marunouchi	2-Chrome, Chiyoda-ku	Tokyo	Japan	100-8322			<a href="mailto:niizeki.tetsuhiro@furukawa.co.jp">niizeki.tetsuhiro@furukawa.co.jp</a>	Legal Department of The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.
The Timpken Corporation BIC - 08	Robert Morris	1835 Dueber Ave. SW	PO Box 6927	Canton	OH	44706-0927		330-438-3000	<a href="mailto:robert.morris@timken.com">robert.morris@timken.com</a>	Representative for Timken Corporation
Thompson & Knight	Rhett G. Campbell	333 Clay Street	Suite 3300	Houston	TX	77002		713-654-1871	<a href="mailto:rhett.campbell@tklaw.com">rhett.campbell@tklaw.com</a>	Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Thompson & Knight LLP	Ira L. Herman	919 Third Avenue	39th Floor	New York	NY	10022-3915		212-751-3045	<a href="mailto:ira.herman@tklaw.com">ira.herman@tklaw.com</a>	Counsel to Victory Packaging
Thompson & Knight LLP	John S. Brannon	1700 Pacific Avenue	Suite 3300	Dallas	TX	75201-4693		214-969-1505	<a href="mailto:john.brannon@tklaw.com">john.brannon@tklaw.com</a>	Counsel to Victory Packaging
Thompson Coburn Fagel Haber	Lauren Newman	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603		312-346-7500	<a href="mailto:lnewman@tcfhlaw.com">lnewman@tcfhlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Aluminum International, Inc.
Thompson Coburn LLP d/b/a Thompson Coburn Fagel Haber	Dennis E. Quaid Esq	55 E Monroe 37th Fl		Chicago	IL	60603		312-580-2215	<a href="mailto:dquaid@thompsoncoburn.com">dquaid@thompsoncoburn.com</a>	Counsel for Penn Aluminum International Inc
Thompson Hine LLP	Jennifer L Maffett	2000 Courthouse Plaza NE	10 W Second St	Dayton	OH	45402		937-443-6600	<a href="mailto:Jennifer.Maffett@ThompsonHine.com">Jennifer.Maffett@ThompsonHine.com</a>	Counsel to Rieck Group, LLC n/k/a Mechanical Construction Managers, LLC
TI Group Automotive Systms LLC	Timothy M. Guerriero	12345 E Nine Mile Rd		Warren	MI	48089		586-755-8066	<a href="mailto:tguerriero@us.tiauto.com">tguerriero@us.tiauto.com</a>	General Counsel and Company Secretary to TI Group Automotive Systems LLC
Todd & Levi, LLP	Jill Levi, Esq.	444 Madison Avenue	Suite 1202	New York	NY	10022		212-308-7400	<a href="mailto:jlevi@todtlevi.com">jlevi@todtlevi.com</a>	Counsel to Bank of Lincolnwood
Todtman Nachamie Spizz & Johns PC	Janice B. Grubin	425 Park Avenue	5th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-754-9400	<a href="mailto:jgrubin@tnsj-law.com">jgrubin@tnsj-law.com</a>	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
U.S. Department of Justice	Matthew L Schwartz Joseph N Cordaro	Assistant United States Attorneys	86 Chambers St 3rd Fl	New York	NY	10007		212-637-1945	<a href="mailto:matthew.schwartz@usdoj.gov">matthew.schwartz@usdoj.gov</a> <a href="mailto:Joseph.Cordaro@usdoj.gov">Joseph.Cordaro@usdoj.gov</a> <a href="mailto:hزامboni@underbergkessler.com">hزامboni@underbergkessler.com</a>	Counsel to Environmental Protection Agency; Internal Revenue Service; Department of Health and Human Services; and Customs and Border Protection
Underberg & Kessler, LLP	Helen Zamboni	300 Bausch & Lomb Place		Rochester	NY	14604		585-258-2800		Counsel to McAlpin Industries, Inc.
Union Pacific Railroad Company	Mary Ann Kilgore	1400 Douglas Street	MC 1580	Omaha	NE	68179		402-544-4195	<a href="mailto:mkilgore@UP.com">mkilgore@UP.com</a>	Counsel to Union Pacific Railroad Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy	Allied Industrial and Service Workers, Intl Union (USW), AFL-CIO	David Jury, Esq.	Five Gateway Center Suite 807	Pittsburgh	PA	15222		412-562-2546	<a href="mailto:djury@usw.org">djury@usw.org</a>	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Tiffany Strelow Cobb	52 East Gay Street		Columbus	OH	43215		614-464-8322	<a href="mailto:tscoobb@vorys.com">tscoobb@vorys.com</a>	Counsel to America Online, Inc. and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Richard G. Mason	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	<a href="mailto:RGMason@wlrk.com">RGMason@wlrk.com</a>	Counsel to Capital Research and Management Company
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Gordon J. Toering	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2185	<a href="mailto:gtoering@wnj.com">gtoering@wnj.com</a>	Counsel to Robert Bosch Corporation; Counsel to Daewoo International Corp and Daewoo International (America) Corp
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Michael G. Cruse	2000 Town Center	Suite 2700	Southfield	MI	48075		248-784-5131	<a href="mailto:mcruse@wnj.com">mcruse@wnj.com</a>	Counsel to Compuware Corporation
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Stephen B. Grow	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2158	<a href="mailto:growsb@wnj.com">growsb@wnj.com</a>	Counsel to Behr Industries Corp.
Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., L.P.A.	Geoffrey J. Peters	175 South Third Street	Suite 900	Columbus	OH	43215		614-857-4326	<a href="mailto:gpeters@weltman.com">gpeters@weltman.com</a>	Counsel to Seven Seventeen Credit Union
White & Case LLP	Glenn Kurtz Gerard Uzzi Douglas Baumstein	1155 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-2787		212-819-8200	<a href="mailto:dkurtz@ny.whitecase.com">dkurtz@ny.whitecase.com</a> <a href="mailto:guzzi@whitecase.com">guzzi@whitecase.com</a> <a href="mailto:dbaumstein@ny.whitecase.com">dbaumstein@ny.whitecase.com</a>	Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP
White & Case LLP	Thomas Lauria Frank Eaton	Wachovia Financial Center	200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 4900	Miami	FL	33131		305-371-2700	<a href="mailto:tlauria@whitecase.com">tlauria@whitecase.com</a> <a href="mailto:featon@miami.whitecase.com">featon@miami.whitecase.com</a>	Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP
Whyte, Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.	Bruce G. Arnold	555 East Wells Street	Suite 1900	Milwaukee	WI	53202-4894		414-273-2100	<a href="mailto:barnold@whdlaw.com">barnold@whdlaw.com</a>	Counsel to Schunk Graphite Technology
Wickens Herzer Panza Cook & Batista Co	James W Moennich Esq	35765 Chester Rd		Avon	OH	44011-1262		440-930-8000	<a href="mailto:jmoennich@wickenslaw.com">jmoennich@wickenslaw.com</a>	Counsel for Delphi Sandusky ESOP
Winston & Strawn LLP	David Neier Carey D. Schreiber	200 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10166-4193		212-294-6700	<a href="mailto:dneier@winston.com">dneier@winston.com</a> <a href="mailto:cschreiber@winston.com">cschreiber@winston.com</a>	Counsel to Ad Hoc Group of Tranche A & B DIP Lenders
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Marc. J. Winthrop	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	<a href="mailto:mwinthrop@winthropcouchot.com">mwinthrop@winthropcouchot.com</a>	Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Sean A. O'Keefe	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	<a href="mailto:sokeefe@winthropcouchot.com">sokeefe@winthropcouchot.com</a>	Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Allen Grumbine	550 South Main St		Greenville	SC	29601		864-255-5402	<a href="mailto:agrumbine@wcsr.com">agrumbine@wcsr.com</a>	Counsel to Armacell
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Michael G. Busenkell	222 Delaware Avenue	Suite 1501	Wilmington	DE	19801			<a href="mailto:mbusenkell@wcsr.com">mbusenkell@wcsr.com</a>	Counsel to Chicago Miniature Optoelectronic Technologies, Inc.
Woods Oviatt Gilman LLP	Ronald J. Kisinski	700 Crossroads Bldg	2 State St	Rochester	NY	14614		585-362-4514	<a href="mailto:rkisicki@woodsoviatt.com">rkisicki@woodsoviatt.com</a>	
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Stuart Krause	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	<a href="mailto:skrause@zeklaw.com">skrause@zeklaw.com</a>	Counsel to Toyota Tsusho America, Inc.

## **EXHIBIT B**

## Post-Emergence Master Service List

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	PARTY / FUNCTION
United States Trustee	Brian Masumoto	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	Counsel to United States Trustee

# **EXHIBIT C**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT TO  
REORGANIZED DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF  
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM NUMBERS 18743 AND 20052

(GENPACT INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND GENPACT INTERNATIONAL, LLC)



PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 22, 2010, DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors (the "Reorganized Debtors"), successors of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (f/k/a In re Delphi Corporation, et al.) (collectively, the "Debtors") objected to proofs of administrative expense claim numbers 18743 and 20052 (the "Claims") filed by Genpact International, Inc. and Genpact International, LLC, respectively (together with Genpact International, Inc., the "Claimants") pursuant to the Reorganized Debtors' Forty-Third Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Expunge Certain Administrative Expense (A) Severance Claims, (B) Books And Records Claims, (C) Duplicate Claims, (D) Equity Interests, (E) Prepetition Claims, (F) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (G) Pension, Benefit, And OPEB Claims, (H) Workers' Compensation Claims, And (I) Transferred Workers' Compensation Claims, (II) Modify And Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims, And (III) Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims (Docket No. 19356) (the "Forty-Third Omnibus Claims Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the

Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests." Modified Plan, art. 9.6(a).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Address Contested Administrative Expense Claims (Docket No. 18998) (the "Administrative Claims Procedures Order") and the Twelfth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered July 16, 2010 (Docket No. 20426), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Claims is hereby scheduled for October 21, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140 (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully because failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of your Claims. Copies of the Order and the Administrative Claims Procedures Order are attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimants.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
	:
In re	:
	:
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	:
Debtors.	:
	:
-----X	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),  
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR  
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN  
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And  
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For  
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And  
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated  
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and  
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the  
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the  
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:<sup>1</sup>

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

---

<sup>1</sup> Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus



claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.



10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT  
TO [ ] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit \_\_ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

<b>Date Filed</b>	<b>Claim Number</b>	<b>Asserted Claim Amount<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Basis For Objection</b>	<b>Treatment Of Claim</b>	<b>Surviving Claim Number (if any)</b>

---

<sup>1</sup> Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at [www.delphidocket.com](http://www.delphidocket.com).

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO  
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the  
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the  
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession



SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH  
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_\_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the  
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the  
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk  
Marc Abrams  
Ronald Barliant  
Michael Baum  
Morton Collins  
Susan Cook  
Samuel Damren  
Eugene Driker  
Jonathan Flaxer  
Rozanne Giunta  
Erwin Katz  
Edward Moran  
Alan Nisselson  
Thomas Plunkett  
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S  
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$\_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) AND 503(b) AUTHORIZING  
DEBTORS TO APPLY CLAIMS OBJECTION PROCEDURES TO  
ADDRESS CONTESTED ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIMS

("ORDER AUTHORIZING USE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES")

Upon the motion (the "Motion"), dated July 31, 2009, of Delphi Corporation (now known as DPH Holdings Corp.) and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), for entry of an order authorizing the Reorganized Debtors to apply the claims objection procedures set forth in the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims on December 6, 2006 (the "Claim Objection Procedures Order") (Docket No. 6089) to contested administrative expense claims; and upon the record of the August 20, 2009 hearing held on the Motion; and counsel for the Reorganized Debtors having represented that GM Components<sup>1</sup> and DIP Holdco

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.



3 have agreed to the terms of this order; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  
THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED as provided herein.
2. The Reorganized Debtors are authorized and directed to apply the claims objection procedures set forth in the Claims Objection Procedures Order to any dispute with respect to Administrative Claims.
3. All Administrative Claims shall be subject to the Claims Objection Procedures.
4. With respect to any Administrative Claim that is to be paid by and/or is the responsibility of either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 pursuant to the DIP Lender-GM Master Disposition Agreement (the "MDA"), DPH Holdings Corp. will (a) provide to GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, (i) written notice identifying the Administrative Claim and (ii) reasonably requested documentation relating to the Administrative Claim, and (b) work with GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, to develop an appropriate strategy to liquidate or seek disallowance of the Administrative Claim.
5. DPH Holdings Corp. shall not enter into a settlement agreement or make a payment on account of any Administrative Claim for which either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible without the express written consent of GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable. Additionally, to the extent GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 directs DPH Holdings Corp. to resolve an Administrative Claim (for which GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible) in a particular manner, including the settlement or litigation of such claim, DPH

Holdings Corp. shall resolve the Administrative Claim in accordance with such direction at no further cost, liability, or expense to DPH Holdings Corp.

6. If (a) GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, requires DPH Holdings Corp. to liquidate or seek disallowance of an Administrative Claim or (b) after DPH Holdings Corp. applies the Claims Objection Procedures to liquidate or seek disallowance of an Administrative Claim and either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is subsequently determined to be responsible for such Administrative Claim pursuant to the MDA, the reasonable costs incurred by DPH Holdings Corp. of liquidating or seeking disallowance of such Administrative Claim, only to the extent incurred after DPH Holdings Corp. has given notice in accordance with paragraph 4(a), above, shall be reimbursed by whichever of GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible for such Administrative Claim pursuant to the MDA. GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, may elect at any time to assume responsibility for liquidating or seeking disallowance of any such Administrative Claim at its own expense.

7. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 22, 2009

/s/Robert D. Drain  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

## **EXHIBIT D**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT TO  
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 14086

(CAROLYN NEEDHAM)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 15, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (f/k/a In re Delphi Corporation, et al.) (collectively, the "Debtors"), predecessors of DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors (the "Reorganized Debtors") objected to proof of claim number 14086 (the "Claim") filed by Carolyn Needham (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Ninth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claims, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification (Docket No. 6968) (the "Ninth Omnibus Claims Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests." Modified Plan, art. 9.6(a).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices

And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order") and the Twelfth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered July 16, 2010 (Docket No. 20426), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Claim is hereby scheduled for October 21, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140 (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully because failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of your Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In re : Chapter 11  
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)  
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)  
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),  
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR  
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN  
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And  
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For  
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And  
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated  
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and  
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the  
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the  
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,



IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:<sup>1</sup>

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  
THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

---

<sup>1</sup> Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.



(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT  
TO [ ] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit \_\_ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

<b>Date Filed</b>	<b>Claim Number</b>	<b>Asserted Claim Amount<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Basis For Objection</b>	<b>Treatment Of Claim</b>	<b>Surviving Claim Number (if any)</b>

---

<sup>1</sup> Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.



PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at [www.delphidocket.com](http://www.delphidocket.com).

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO  
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the  
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the  
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH  
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_\_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the  
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the  
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk  
Marc Abrams  
Ronald Barliant  
Michael Baum  
Morton Collins  
Susan Cook  
Samuel Damren  
Eugene Driker  
Jonathan Flaxer  
Rozanne Giunta  
Erwin Katz  
Edward Moran  
Alan Nisselson  
Thomas Plunkett  
Marty Reisig



SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S  
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$\_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

# **EXHIBIT E**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH  
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 1294  
AND 1301 FILED BY OHIO BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

("NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING AS TO  
PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 1294 AND 1301")

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 22, 2009, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtor and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (f/k/a In re Delphi Corporation, et al.) (collectively, the "Debtors"), predecessors of DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors (the "Reorganized Debtors") objected to proofs of claim numbers 1294 and 1301 (the "Proofs of Claim") filed by the Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation pursuant to the Debtors' Thirty-Fourth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11. U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Expunge (A) Certain Pension And OPEB Claims, (B) Certain Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (C) Certain Duplicate And/Or Amended Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (D) Certain Untimely Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (E) A Secured Books And Records Claim, And (F) Certain Untimely Claims, (II) Modify Certain (A) Wage And Benefit Claims, (B) State Workers' Compensation Claims, And (C) Individual Workers' Compensation Claims Asserting Priority, (III) Provisionally Disallow Certain Union Claims, And (IV) Modify And Allow Certain Settled Claims (Docket No. 17182).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the

Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests." Modified Plan, art. 9.6(a).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on March 16, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Nos. 1294 And 1301 (Ohio Bureau Of Workers' Compensation) (Docket No. 19687), scheduling an evidentiary hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") on the merits of the Proofs of Claim.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on April 16, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 1294 And 1301 Filed By Ohio Bureau Of Workers' Compensation (Docket No. 19864). The Claims Objection Hearing was scheduled for September 24, 2010,<sup>1</sup> at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to paragraph 9(a)(ii) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order") and the Twelfth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered July 16, 2010

---

<sup>1</sup> On July 15, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Notice Of Rescheduling Of Fifty-Ninth Omnibus Hearing And Thirty-Seventh Claims Hearing (Docket No. 20417) rescheduling the September 14, 2010 hearing to September 24, 2010.

(Docket No. 20426), the Claims Objection Hearing is hereby adjourned to October 21, 2010, at  
10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors



UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
	:
In re	:
	:
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	:
Debtors.	:
	:
-----X	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),  
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR  
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN  
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And  
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For  
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And  
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated  
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and  
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the  
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the  
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:<sup>1</sup>

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  
THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

---

<sup>1</sup> Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and



provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT  
TO [ ] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").



PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit \_\_ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

<b>Date Filed</b>	<b>Claim Number</b>	<b>Asserted Claim Amount<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Basis For Objection</b>	<b>Treatment Of Claim</b>	<b>Surviving Claim Number (if any)</b>

---

<sup>1</sup> Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at [www.delphidocket.com](http://www.delphidocket.com).

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO  
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the  
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the  
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH  
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_\_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the  
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the  
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession



EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk  
Marc Abrams  
Ronald Barliant  
Michael Baum  
Morton Collins  
Susan Cook  
Samuel Damren  
Eugene Driker  
Jonathan Flaxer  
Rozanne Giunta  
Erwin Katz  
Edward Moran  
Alan Nisselson  
Thomas Plunkett  
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S  
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [\_\_\_\_\_]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, Delphi Corporation and certain  
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by \_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$\_\_\_\_\_ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December \_\_, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 200\_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)  
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)  
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)  
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 407-0700

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)  
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,  
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

# **EXHIBIT F**

**Hearing Date and Time: August 27, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)**  
**Supplemental Response Date and Time: August 25, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time)**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case Number 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO RESPONSE  
OF CLAIMANTS TO REORGANIZED DEBTORS' OBJECTIONS TO  
PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 10884, 15346, AND 15347

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY REGARDING CERTAIN DUPLICATE CLAIMS")

DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (together with DPH Holdings Corp., the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit the Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply To Response Of Claimants To Reorganized Debtors' Objections To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 10884, 15346, And 15347 (the "Supplemental Reply"), and respectfully represent as follows:

A. Preliminary Statement

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (the "Debtors"), predecessors of the Reorganized Debtors, filed voluntary petitions in this Court for reorganization relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended.

2. On October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by this Court pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

3. On July 15, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 10884, 15346, And 15347 And Reorganized Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 19168 And 19574 (Docket No. 20419) (the "Sufficiency Hearing Notice").

4. The Reorganized Debtors are filing this Supplemental Reply to implement Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan, which provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests." Modified Plan, art. 9.6(a).

5. By the Sufficiency Hearing Notice and pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Address Contested Administrative Expense Claims, entered October 22, 2009 (Docket No. 18998), the Eleventh Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered April 5, 2010 (Docket No. 19776), and the Notice Of Rescheduling Of Fifty-Ninth Omnibus Hearing And Thirty-Seventh Claims Hearing (Docket No. 20417), the Reorganized Debtors scheduled a hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") on August 27, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in this Court to address the legal sufficiency of each proof of claim and proof of administrative expense claim filed by the claimants listed on Exhibit A to the Sufficiency Hearing Notice and whether each such proof of claim and proof of administrative expense claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor.

6. This Supplemental Reply is filed pursuant to paragraph 9(b)(i) of the Claims Objection Procedures Order. Pursuant to paragraph 9(b)(ii) of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, if a Claimant wishes to file a supplemental pleading in response to this Supplemental Reply, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing – i.e., by **August 25, 2010.**



B. Relief Requested

7. By this Supplemental Reply, the Reorganized Debtors request entry of an order disallowing and expunging certain proofs of claim filed against the Debtors in their chapter 11 cases.

C. The Claims Filed Against The Debtors

8. Each of the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit A<sup>1</sup> hereto asserts environmental liabilities of the Debtors (the "Claims"). During the Reorganized Debtors' review of the Claims, the Reorganized Debtors determined that the Claims are duplicative of other proofs of claim. Accordingly, this Court should enter an order disallowing and expunging each of the Claims in its entirety.

D. Claimants' Burden Of Proof And Standard For Sufficiency Of Claim

9. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that the Claims fail to state a claim against the Debtors under rule 7012 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"). The claimants have not proved any facts to support a right to payment by the Reorganized Debtors on behalf of the Debtors. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors' objections to the Claims should be sustained and each of the Claims should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

10. The burden of proof to establish a claim against the Debtors rests on the claimant and, if a proof of claim does not include sufficient factual support, such proof of claim is not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). In re Spiegel, Inc., No. 03-11540, 2007 WL 2456626, at \*15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. August 22, 2007)

---

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit A sets forth the following information regarding each proof of claim: the applicable claim number, the date the claim was filed, the name of the claimant, the applicable omnibus objection, the date of the applicable omnibus objection, the docket number of the claimant's response, the name of the debtor entity against which the claim is asserted, and the basis for the Reorganized Debtors' objections to the Claims.

(the claimant always bears the burden of persuasion and must initially allege facts sufficient to support the claim); see also In re WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-13533, 2005 WL 3832065, at \*4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2005) (only a claim that alleges facts sufficient to support legal liability to claimant satisfies claimant's initial obligation to file substantiated proof of claim); In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173 (3d Cir. 1992) (in its initial proof of claim filing, claimant must allege facts sufficient to support claim); In re Chiro Plus, Inc., 339 B.R. 111, 113 (Bankr. D. N.J. 2006) (claimant bears initial burden of sufficiently alleging claim and establishing facts to support legal liability); In re Armstrong Finishing, L.L.C., No. 99-11576-C11, 2001 WL 1700029, at \*2 (Bankr. M.D.N.C. May 2, 2001) (only when claimant alleges facts sufficient to support its proof of claim is it entitled to have claim considered prima facie valid); In re United Cos. Fin. Corp., 267 B.R. 524, 527 (Bankr. D. Del. 2000) (claimant must allege facts sufficient to support legal basis for its claim to have claim make prima facie case).

11. For purposes of sufficiency, this Court has determined that the standard of whether a claimant has met its initial burden of proof to establish a claim should be similar to the standard employed by courts in deciding a motion to dismiss under Bankruptcy Rules 7012 and 9014. See Transcript of January 12, 2007 Hearing (Docket No. 7118) (the "January 12, 2007 Transcript") at 52:24-53:1. Pursuant to that standard, a motion to dismiss should be granted if a claimant fails to make "[f]actual allegations . . . enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level [to a plausible level],' assuming (of course) that all the allegations in the complaint are true." Bradley v. Rell, No. 1:07-CV-0148, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29606, at \*13 (N.D.N.Y. Mar. 25, 2010) (quoting Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S 544, 555 (2007)). Essentially, the claimant must provide facts that plausibly support a legal liability against the Debtors.

12. This Court further established that the sufficiency hearing standard is consistent with Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), which states that "a proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with these Rules shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f) (emphasis added). Likewise, Bankruptcy Rule 3001(a) requires that "the proof of claim shall conform substantially to the appropriate Official Form" and Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c) requires that "when a claim . . . is based on a writing, the original or a duplicate shall be filed with the proof of claim." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(a), (c). See January 12, 2007 Transcript at 52:17-22.

E. Argument Regarding The Claims

13. Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Claims. It is axiomatic that creditors are not entitled to multiple recoveries for a single liability against a debtor. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA") filed two proofs of claim asserting environmental liabilities of the Debtors: proofs of claim numbers 10884 and 10885. These two proofs of claim are identical, with the exception that claim 10884 asserts a claim against Delphi Corporation and claim 10885 asserts a claim against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. Pursuant to article 7.2 of the Modified Plan, however, claims against Delphi Corporation and Delphi Automotive Systems LLC were consolidated into a single group—the Delphi-DAS Debtors<sup>2</sup>—for, among other things, any obligation of the Delphi-DAS Debtors and for purposes of making distributions on account of allowed claims. Consequently, because proofs of claim numbers

---

<sup>2</sup> As defined in Article 1.54 of the Modified Plan, "Delphi-DAS Debtors" means, collectively, Delphi Corporation, ASEC Manufacturing General Partnership, ASEC Sales General Partnership, Aspire, Inc., Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Automotive Systems Global (Holdings), Inc., Delphi Automotive Systems Human Resources LLC, Delphi Automotive Systems Services LLC, Delphi Foreign Sales Corporation, Delphi Integrated Service Solutions, Inc., Delphi LLC, Delphi NY Holding Corporation, Delphi Receivables LLC, Delphi Services Holding Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems Risk Management Corp., Delphi Automotive Systems Tennessee, Inc., Delphi Technologies, Inc., Delphi Electronics (Holding) LLC, Delphi Liquidation Holding Company, DREAL, Inc., Environmental Catalysts, LLC, and Exhaust Systems Corporation, as substantively consolidated for Plan purposes.

10884 and 10885 are treated as a single obligation under the Modified Plan, the Illinois EPA is only entitled to one recovery on account of proofs of claim numbers 10884 and 10885.

14. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors request that the Court disallow and expunge proof of claim 10884 as duplicative of proof of claim 10885. If such relief is granted, the Reorganized Debtors will not subsequently object to proof of claim 10885 on the basis that such Claim was asserted against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC rather than Delphi Corporation.

15. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Claims: The Ohio Environmental Protection Agency ("Ohio EPA") filed three proofs of claims asserting environmental liabilities against the Debtors:<sup>3</sup> proofs of claim numbers 15345, 15346, and 15347. These three proofs of claim are identical, with the exception that proof of claim 15345 asserts claims against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, proof of claim 15346 asserts claims against Delphi Automotive Systems Services LLC, and proof of claim 15347 asserts claims against Delphi Corporation. As stated above, pursuant to article 7.2 of the Modified Plan, claims against Delphi Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, and Delphi Automotive Systems Services LLC were consolidated into a single group—the Delphi-DAS Debtors—for, among other things, any obligation of the Delphi-DAS Debtors and for purposes of making distributions on account of allowed claims. Consequently, the Ohio EPA is not entitled to recover separately on account of proofs of claims 15345, 15346, and 15347, because the three Claims are treated as a single obligation under the Modified Plan. Indeed, in the Ohio EPA's response (Docket No. 19055) to the Reorganized Debtors' objection to proofs of claim numbers 15346 and 15346, the Ohio EPA

---

<sup>3</sup> Each of the three claims asserts both unsecured and priority claims of undetermined amounts. Hereinafter, these will be referred to as "proofs of claims."

stated that it filed proofs of claims "in each of the cases to ensure that the claims were filed against the correct entity."

16. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors request that the Court disallow and expunge proofs of claims 15346 and 15347 as duplicative of proof of claim 15345. If such relief is granted, the Reorganized Debtors will not subsequently object to proof of claim 15345 on the basis that such Claim was asserted against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC rather than Delphi Corporation or Delphi Automotive Systems Services LLC.

17. For the foregoing reasons, the Reorganized Debtors assert that (a) the claimants listed in column C of Exhibit A have not met their burden of proof to establish a claim against in the Debtors, (b) the Claims are not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), and (c) the Claims fail to state a claim against the Debtors under Bankruptcy Rule 7012. Because the claimants cannot provide facts or law supporting the Claims, the objections listed in column D of Exhibit A should be sustained as to the Claims, and each of the Claims should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request this Court enter an order (a) sustaining the objections relating to the Claims, (b) disallowing and expunging each of the Claims in its entirety, and (c) granting such further and other relief this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

### Exhibit A - Duplicate Claims

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Proof Of Claim Number	Date Filed	Claimant	Omnibus Claims Objection	Date Of Omnibus Claims Objection	Docket No. of Response	Debtor Named On Proof Of Claim	Basis for Objection
10884	7/25/2006	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	Third Omnibus Claims Objection	10/31/2006	6067*	DELPHI CORPORATION	Duplicate Claim
15346	7/31/2006	OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection	10/15/2009	19055	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS SERVICES LLC	Duplicate Claim
15347	7/31/2006	OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection	10/15/2009	19055	DELPHI CORPORATION	Duplicate Claim

\*The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency filed the Response And Exhibits To Delphi's Objection To Its Claim (No. 10885) By Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Docket No. 6067) in response to the Debtors' Third Omnibus Claims Objection. As described in the Supplemental Reply, proofs of claim numbers 10884 and 10885 are identical, except that claim 10884 was filed against Delphi Corporation and claim 10885 was filed against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. Although the Reorganized Debtors could not locate a separate response by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency with respect to proof of claim number 10884, the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 (I) Disallowing And Expunging Certain (A) Claims With Insufficient Documentation And (B) Claims Unsubstantiated By Debtors' Books And Records, (II) Modifying Certain Claims, And (III) Adjourning Hearing On Certain Contingent And Unliquidated Claims Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(c) Identified In Third Omnibus Claims Objection (Docket No. 6224) listed proof of claim number 10884 as an adjourned claim, and therefore, was included in the Sufficiency Hearing Notice.

# **EXHIBIT G**



**Hearing Date and Time: August 27, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)**  
**Supplemental Response Date and Time: August 25, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time)**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
 155 North Wacker Drive  
 Chicago, Illinois 60606  
 John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
 John K. Lyons  
 Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
 Four Times Square  
 New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
 Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:  
 Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
 International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case Number 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	X	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO RESPONSE  
 ON BEHALF OF CLAIMANT TO REORGANIZED DEBTORS'  
 OBJECTION TO PROOF OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM  
NUMBER 19574 FILED ON BEHALF OF LEE H. YOUNG, JR.

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY REGARDING CLAIM FILED  
 ON BEHALF OF LEE H. YOUNG, JR.")

DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (together with DPH Holdings Corp., the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit the Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply To Response Of Claimant To Reorganized Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19574 Filed By Lee H. Young, Jr. (the "Supplemental Reply"), and respectfully represent as follows:

A. Preliminary Statement

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005, Delphi Corporation and certain of and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (the "Debtors"), former debtors and debtors-in-possession, predecessors of the Reorganized Debtors, filed voluntary petitions in this Court for reorganization relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended (the "Bankruptcy Code").

2. On October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by this Court pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

3. On June 23, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 10884, 15346, And 15347 And Reorganized Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 19168 And 19574 (Docket No. 20419) (the "Sufficiency Hearing Notice").

4. The Reorganized Debtors are filing this Supplemental Reply to implement Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan, which provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving

all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests." Modified Plan, art. 9.6(a).

5. By the Sufficiency Hearing Notice and pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Address Contested Administrative Expense Claims, entered October 22, 2009 (Docket No. 18998), the Eleventh Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered April 5, 2010 (Docket No. 19776), and the Notice Of Rescheduling Of Fifty-Ninth Omnibus Hearing And Thirty-Seventh Claims Hearing (Docket No. 20417), the Reorganized Debtors scheduled a hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") on August 27, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in this Court to address the legal sufficiency of each proof of claim and proof of administrative expense claim filed by the claimants listed on Exhibit A to the Sufficiency Hearing Notice and whether each such proof of claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor.

6. This Supplemental Reply is filed pursuant to paragraph 9(b)(i) of the Claims Objection Procedures Order. Pursuant to paragraph 9(b)(ii) of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, if a claimant wishes to file a supplemental pleading in response to this Supplemental Reply, the claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing – i.e., by **August 25, 2010.**

B. Relief Requested

7. By this Supplemental Reply, the Reorganized Debtors request entry of an order disallowing and expunging a certain proof of administrative expense claim filed against the Debtors in their chapter 11 cases.

C. The Claim Filed Against The Debtors

8. On August 13, 2009, the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Individual Self-Insurer Guaranty Association (the "Association") filed proof of administrative expense claim number 19574 on behalf of Lee H. Young, Jr., asserting liabilities on account of workers' compensation related programs against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC (the "Claim"). During the Reorganized Debtors' review of the Claim, the Reorganized Debtors determined that neither Mr. Young nor the Association have provided sufficient information to support the Claim. Accordingly, this Court should enter an order disallowing and expunging the Claim in its entirety.

9. The Reorganized Debtors' Objection To The Claim. On March 19, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors objected to the Claim on the Reorganized Debtors' Forty-Sixth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Disallow And Expunge Certain Administrative Expense (A) Books And Records Claims, (B) Methode Electronics Claims, (C) State Workers' Compensation Claims, (D) Duplicate State Workers' Compensation Claims, (E) Workers' Compensation Claims, (F) Transferred Workers' Compensation Claims, (G) Tax Claims, (H) Duplicate Insurance Claims, And (I) Severance Claims, (II) Disallow And Expunge (A) A Certain Duplicate Workers' Compensation Claim, (B) A Certain Duplicate Tax Claim, And (C) A Certain Duplicate Severance Claim, (III) Modify Certain Administrative Expense (A) State Workers' Compensation Claims And (B) Workers' Compensation Claims, And (IV) Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims

(Docket No. 19711) (the "Forty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection") on the grounds that insufficient information was provided to support the Claim.

10. Response To The Reorganized Debtors' Objection. On April 15, 2010, the Association, on behalf of Mr. Young, filed the Response Of Mississippi Workers' Compensation Individual Self-Insurer Guaranty Association To The Reorganized Debtors' Forty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection – Claim No. 19574 (Lee H. Young, Jr.) (Docket No. 19852) (the "Response") asserting that the Claim should be estimated pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and that the Claim should be allowed as an administrative expense claim and paid in full in the estimated amount.

D. Claimant's Burden Of Proof And Standard For Sufficiency Of Claim

11. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that the Claim fails to state a claim against the Debtors under rule 7012 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"). Mr. Young has not proved any facts to support a right to payment by the Reorganized Debtors on behalf of the Debtors. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors' objection to the Claim should be sustained and the Claim should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

12. The burden of proof to establish a claim against the Debtors rests on the claimant and, if a proof of claim does not include sufficient factual support, such proof of claim is not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). In re Spiegel, Inc., No. 03-11540, 2007 WL 2456626, at \*15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. August 22, 2007) (the claimant always bears the burden of persuasion and must initially allege facts sufficient to support the claim); see also In re WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-13533, 2005 WL 3832065, at \*4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2005) (only a claim that alleges facts sufficient to support legal liability to claimant satisfies claimant's initial obligation to file substantiated proof of claim); In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173 (3d Cir. 1992) (in its initial proof of claim filing,

claimant must allege facts sufficient to support claim); In re Chiro Plus, Inc., 339 B.R. 111, 113 (Bankr. D. N.J. 2006) (claimant bears initial burden of sufficiently alleging claim and establishing facts to support legal liability); In re Armstrong Finishing, L.L.C., No. 99-11576-C11, 2001 WL 1700029, at \*2 (Bankr. M.D.N.C. May 2, 2001) (only when claimant alleges facts sufficient to support its proof of claim is it entitled to have claim considered prima facie valid); In re United Cos. Fin. Corp., 267 B.R. 524, 527 (Bankr. D. Del. 2000) (claimant must allege facts sufficient to support legal basis for its claim to have claim make prima facie case).

13. For purposes of sufficiency, this Court has determined that the standard of whether a claimant has met its initial burden of proof to establish a claim should be similar to the standard employed by courts in deciding a motion to dismiss under Bankruptcy Rules 7012 and 9014. See Transcript of January 12, 2007 Hearing (Docket No. 7118) (the "January 12, 2007 Transcript") at 52:24-53:1. Pursuant to that standard, a motion to dismiss should be granted if a claimant fails to make "[f]actual allegations . . . enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level [to a plausible level],' assuming (of course) that all the allegations in the complaint are true." Bradley v. Rell, No. 1:07-CV-0148, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29606, at \*13 (N.D.N.Y. Mar. 25, 2010) (quoting Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S 544, 555 (2007)). Essentially, the claimant must provide facts that sufficiently support a legal liability against the Debtors.

14. This Court further established that the sufficiency hearing standard is consistent with Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), which states that "a proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with these Rules shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f) (emphasis added). Likewise, Bankruptcy Rule 3001(a) requires that "the proof of claim shall conform substantially to the appropriate Official Form"

and Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c) requires that "when a claim . . . is based on a writing, the original or a duplicate shall be filed with the proof of claim." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(a), (c). See January 12, 2007 Transcript at 52:17-22.

E. Argument Regarding The Claims

15. Insufficient Basis For Claims. Neither Mr. Young, nor the Association, has alleged any facts, much less plausible facts, in support of the Claim. Nevertheless, the Reorganized Debtors' own investigation regarding this Claim has confirmed that it is without merit. Proof of administrative expense claim 19574 asserts a claim for workers' compensation liabilities that were fully released pursuant to a settlement between the Debtors and Mr. Young that was previously approved by the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Commission on March 24, 2010 (MWCC No. 08055399-K-0375-E), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. In addition, the Reorganized Debtors' have determined that Mr. Young has no outstanding claims for workers' compensation benefits and has failed to provide any evidence of a compensable injury. Accordingly, the Claim should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

16. For the foregoing reasons, the Reorganized Debtors assert that (a) Mr. Young has not met his burden of proof to establish a claim against the Debtors, (b) the Claim is not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), and (c) the Claim fails to state a claim against the Debtors under Bankruptcy Rule 7012. Because neither the Association nor Mr. Young can provide facts or law supporting the Claim, the Forty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection should be sustained as to the Claim, and the Claim should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request this Court enter an order (a) sustaining the objections relating to the Claim, (b) disallowing and expunging the Claim in its entirety, and (c) granting such further and other relief this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors



# **Exhibit A**

MISSISSIPPI WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION  
MWCC NO. 0805399-K-0375-E

**RECEIVED**  
MAR 24 2010  
CLAIMANT  
M. W. C. C.  
RECEPTIONIST

LEE YOUNG

v.

DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS

EMPLOYER/SELF-INSURED

PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

The Claimant, Lee Young, 1212 Reserve Drive, Clinton, MS 39056, under oath represents to the Commission the following:

1.

On or about May 19, 2008, the Claimant was employed by Delphi Packard Electric Systems, in or around Clinton, Mississippi, when, while in the course and scope of his employment as an industrial electrician, he allegedly sustained an injury to his left foot. At the time of the alleged accident, the Claimant had an average weekly wage of \$2,100.01 and a weekly compensation rate of \$398.93.

2.

63112150700107

All medical reports in the possession of the Employer/Self-Insured have been filed with the Commission and are incorporated by reference. The Claimant is fully aware of the medical opinions of all his treating physicians concerning his medical condition and is fully aware of the consequences of his actions in compromising and settling his claim on the bases set forth in this Petition.

Claimant contends he was injured at work on May 19, 2008. In an employee statement form for an on-the-job injury completed on that day, Claimant stated that he was walking to a call on line 5 when a bone popped in his foot.

After Claimant returned to his own work area, he told one of his production supervisors about the incident and was instructed to report to the plant medical department. The nurse on duty iced and wrapped his foot.

On the next day, Claimant returned to the Delphi plant medical department and was examined by Dr. Irvin Cronin who referred him to Dr. Gary McCarthy for an x-ray and evaluation. After undergoing x-rays on May 20, 2008 at Central Mississippi Medical Center, Claimant was evaluated by Joanna McCollum, a nurse practitioner with Dr. Gary McCarthy. He reported injuring his left foot while working at the plant and carrying a thirty to forty pound tool belt. X-rays revealed a fracture at the base of the left fifth metatarsal. McCollum instructed Claimant to wear a fracture boot for four weeks but allowed him to return to work with restrictions against lifting more than five pounds and with weight bearing as tolerated. Claimant returned to work and wore the fracture boot as instructed from May until August 2008.

Eventually, Claimant began to treat with Dr. David J. Gandy. On June 20, 2008, Dr. Gandy noted that Claimant's fracture still had not healed completely. Nevertheless, Dr. Gandy did note some bone healing, and he indicated that, given time, the fracture was likely to heal completely. Dr. Gandy instructed Claimant to continue performing sedentary work with limited twisting, standing, or climbing.

Claimant followed up with Dr. Gandy on July 7, 2008, and x-rays taken on that day showed good position and alignment of the fracture. Dr. Gandy stated the fracture needed more healing time, and he instructed Claimant to continue on light duty with no lifting more than five pounds, no climbing, and minimal walking. Dr. Gandy also instructed Claimant to return in three to four weeks.

By August 2008, x-rays showed good healing of the fracture, and Dr. Gandy indicated the fracture had healed enough for Claimant to walk normally. Nevertheless, Dr. Gandy recommended avoiding stair climbing and ladder climbing for an additional three to four weeks. He instructed Claimant to return only as needed.

According to Dr. Gandy's records, Claimant last followed up on January 21, 2009. At that time, Dr. Gandy noted Claimant was still having pain but was improving. Dr. Gandy instructed Claimant to remain at fairly sedentary work for another couple of weeks and then to begin full duty. Dr. Gandy also stated that he did not anticipate Claimant would have any permanent impairment.

The Employer/Self-Insured disputes the compensability of this claim and the reasonableness and necessity of medical treatment.

3.

Employer/Self-Insured denies that Claimant incurred a compensable injury and the Employer/Self-Insured has paid no workers' compensation benefits or expenses to or on behalf of Claimant as a result of the alleged work-related injury. In the event of approval of this settlement and subject to the terms set forth below, the Claimant, and not the Employer/Self-Insured, will be responsible for any and all medical and related expenses related to the alleged injury occurring on May 19, 2008.

4.

The Claimant represents that he has some degree of permanent disability and loss of wage earning capacity as a result of the alleged work-related injury, but that his claim of a compensable injury and claim of permanent disability and loss of wage-earning capacity are denied and disputed by the Employer/Self-Insured in good faith, and that, in any event, the extent of his permanent

3

disability and loss of wage-earning capacity, if any, resulting from said alleged accidental injury is not susceptible of exact determination as to the extent thereof. Negotiations have been had for a compromise settlement of all claims regarding workers' compensation benefits and the Claimant has agreed to accept and the Employer/Self-Insured has indicated a willingness to pay to the Claimant as a compromise settlement of any and all claims and demands for disability and compensation benefits, medical or related expenses, or any other expenses or claim for workers' compensation benefits, whether under the Mississippi Workers' Compensation law, the total sum of \$5,000.00. In consideration of this amount, the Claimant agrees to release any and all claims for workers' compensation benefits. The Claimant fully recognizes his susceptibility to future injury, additional future medical expense, and further deterioration of his physical and vocational condition.

5.

The Claimant represents that it would be in his best interest that the compromise settlement, as herein proposed, be approved and concluded as stated above, it being understood and provided that the payment of said sum will be received in full compromise settlement of any and all claims and demands for workers' compensation benefits whatsoever on account of any injuries, accidental injuries, or occupational diseases heretofore sustained by the Claimant while in the employ of the Employer and in full settlement, compromise, and satisfaction of any and all claims for workers' compensation benefits of any nature whatsoever allegedly due under the Workers' Compensation law, including but not limited to claims for medical expenses and other items of expense.

6.

The Claimant represents that he is not presently eligible for and/or receiving Social Security disability benefits. The Claimant further represents that he has received neither Medicaid nor Medicare benefits and that no such benefits have been paid on his behalf. In any event, Claimant

4

represents that any Medicare or Medicaid benefits which may have been paid on his behalf did not relate to the physical condition at issue in this case. He also warrants he has made no assignment of any benefits, claims, or rights of subrogation regarding any hospital, doctor, medical, travel, prescription, or related bills or expenses against the Employer/Self-Insured by operation of law or otherwise, and warrants that any other treatments he has received are not related to the injury, accidental injuries, or conditions allegedly arising out of and in the course and scope of his employment. The Claimant, in the event of approval of this settlement herein proposed, shall defend and indemnify the Employer/Self-Insured against any claim, suit, demand, or complaint which relates to or concerns the aforesaid alleged accident.


AND NOW CLAIMANT RESPECTFULLY PRAYS:

That this, his Petition, be received and filed and immediate hearing be held thereon that the matters represented be investigated by the Commission; that an order be entered adjudging that there is a genuine and bona fide dispute as to compensability and permanent disability or loss of wage-earning capacity as a result of the aforesaid alleged accidental injury or occupational diseases allegedly arising out of and in the course of his employment and that, in any event, the extent of his permanent disability or loss of wage-earning capacity, if any, is not susceptible of exact determination as to the extent thereof; and that it would be in the best interest of the Claimant that a compromise settlement be made on the basis herein above set out. The Claimant further prays that he be authorized to accept from the Employer/Self-Insured the aforementioned sum in full settlement and satisfaction of any and all claims for workers' compensation benefits, of any nature whatsoever, whether or not such claims are known or unknown or have already accrued, or demand for the alleged accidental injury or occupational disease of which the Claimant complained, and that the


Employer/Self-Insured be authorized to pay said amount in full settlement, satisfaction and discharge of any and all responsibilities of the Employer/Self-Insured for workers' compensation benefits. The Claimant further prays that upon receipt of the aforesaid sum, the Claimant be authorized to execute and deliver such full and final release, discharge and acquittance as may be required by the Employer/Self-Insured evidencing its full release, acquittance and discharge of any and all liability for workers' compensation benefits under the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Law for or on account of any claims or demands which the Claimant may now or hereafter have against the Employer/Self-Insured, its individual carriers, agents, employers, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries or related companies or organizations arising under the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Law. The Claimant further prays that he be authorized to pay Marc E. Brand, his attorney, an amount equal to \$1,250.00 out of the proceeds of said settlement for valuable legal services rendered.

And the Claimant prays for such other and further relief as may be proper in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

  
LEE YOUNG 20100405122139

READ AND APPROVED BY:

  
MARC E. BRAND (MSB# 4301)  
Attorney for Claimant


**RECEIVED**  
MAR 24 2010  
M. W. C. C.  
RECEPTIONIST





JOINDER

The Employer/Self-Insured joins in the Petition for approval of the settlement as proper under the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Law, but otherwise dispute the Claimant's allegations concerning the existence of the alleged injury and disability and the existence and extent of alleged loss of wage-earning capacity.



ANDREW D. SWEAT, (MSB# 8100)  
JENNIFER H. SCOTT, (MSB# 101553)  
ATTORNEYS FOR EMPLOYER/SELF-INSURED

Andrew D. Sweat, Esq.  
Jennifer H. Scott, Esq.  
WISE CARTER CHILD & CARAWAY, P.A.  
P. O. Box 651  
Jackson, MS 39205-0651  
Telephone: (601) 968-5500

51173150700107

RECEIVED  
MAR 24 2010  
M. W. C. C.  
RECEPTIONIST

**MISSISSIPPI WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION  
MWCC NO. 0805399-K-0375-E**

**LEE YOUNG**

**CLAIMANT**

**V.**

**DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS**

**EMPLOYER/SELF-INSURED**

**ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT**

This cause came on this day for hearing before the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Commission at its offices in Jackson, Mississippi, on the sworn Petition of the Claimant, Lee Young, seeking authority for and approval of a compromise settlement as set out in the Petition. The Petition was joined by Employer/Self-Insured, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, as proper under the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Law.

The Commission has examined the Petition and the lump sum compromise settlement proposed therein and has received evidence concerning same. The Commission finds that the Claimant is fully aware of the medical opinions of each of his treating physicians as well as the consequences of his actions in compromising and settling his claim on the bases set forth in the Petition. The Commission finds that Claimant is represented by Marc E. Brand, that Claimant and his attorney are fully advised in the premises and are of the opinion that the lump sum compromise settlement is just, fair, and proper; and that the prayer of the Petition should be granted.

The Commission finds that the Claimant and Employer/Self-Insured have a bona fide dispute and disagreement as to the compensability of Claimant's alleged injury and/or the extent of loss of wage earning capacity or permanent disability, if any, suffered by the Claimant as a result of the alleged accidental injuries set out in the Petition, and finds that the extent of permanent disability,

if any, is not susceptible of proof as to the exact extent thereof, and that the case is a proper one for disposition under the provisions of the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Law.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, that said compromise settlement proposed in the Petition should be, and the same is hereby approved as being for the best interest of the Claimant.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon payment of the total sum of Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$5,000.00) to the Claimant, the Employer/Self-Insured stands discharged of any other or further liability to the Claimant, including, but not limited to, liability for any and all accidental injuries or occupational injuries or diseases, whether mental or physical, heretofore sustained by Claimant while in the employ of the Employer and of the effects thereof and the Employer/Self-Insured shall further stand discharged for liability for any and all medical or other related expenses associated therewith, and the Claimant is hereby authorized and empowered to execute such release as the Employer/Self-Insured may require to evidence the complete release, acquittance and discharge herein of any liability of the Employer/Self-Insured under the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Law. The Claimant is authorized to pay to Marc E. Brand, his attorney, an amount equal to \$1,250.00 out of the proceeds of the settlement. This settlement amount represents payment for a disputed compromise compensability, disability, and future medical claim, and upon payment of this lump sum amount, which is considered to be for the rest of the Claimant's life, the Claimant shall not be entitled to any further medical benefits or compensation, past, present or future, as a result of the alleged injuries sustained by the Claimant on or about May 19, 2008, while employed by Delphi Packard Electric Systems.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this claim is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

MAR 24 2010

SO ORDERED on \_\_\_\_\_

MISSISSIPPI WORKERS' COMPENSATION  
COMMISSION

LILES WILLIAMS

*John R. Junkin II*

JOHN R. JUNKIN, II

*Augustus L. Collins*

AUGUSTUS L. COLLINS

MWCC NO. 0805399-K-0375-E



ATTEST:

*Phyllis C. Clark*

PHYLLIS C. CLARK, SECRETARY

READ AND APPROVED:

*Andrew D. Sweat*

ANDREW D. SWEAT (MSB# 8100)

JENNIFER H. SCOTT (MSB# 101553)

Attorneys for Employer/Self-Insured

65122150700107

*Marc E. Brand*

MARC E. BRAND (MSB# 4301)

Attorney for Claimant


**ABSOLUTE RELEASE**

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS: That I, LEE YOUNG, for and in consideration of the total sum of **FIVE THOUSAND AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$5,000.00)** cash in hand paid, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, do hereby fully, completely and finally release, discharge and acquit, individually and severally, **DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS**, and each of its individual or joint employees, servants, successors, assigns, employers, insurers, statutory employers and employees, contractors, agents, attorneys, owners, subsidiaries, parent or related companies or entities from any and all actions, causes of action, claims or demands under the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Law arising out of the undersigned's alleged work accident of May 19, 2008, including but not limited to claims for medical expenses and other items of expense allegedly due under that law which arose as a result of any and all injuries, accidental injuries, or occupational diseases heretofore or hereafter allegedly sustained by the undersigned while in the employ of **DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS**, including but not limited to, any claim, demand, action or cause of action, whether liquidated or unliquidated or whether for injuries, damages, or otherwise, which has accrued or may at any time in the future accrue to the undersigned as the result of the undersigned's alleged work accident of May 19, 2008, even though such should be found to be causally related to any injuries, accidental injuries or occupational diseases, heretofore sustained by the undersigned while in the employ of **DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS**.

It is expressly understood and agreed that the payment of the aforesaid sum is not intended to be nor shall it be construed as any admission of liability for any damage, whether actual or punitive, loss, illness, or injury which has heretofore or which may hereafter be sustained by the undersigned arising out of the undersigned's alleged work accident of May 19, 2008. It is expressly understood that Employer/Self-Insured denies that Claimant incurred a compensable injury and that payment of this sum is not intended to be nor shall it be construed to be an admission that Claimant's alleged injury was compensable under workers' compensation law. It is expressly understood that in determining this sum it has been taken into consideration the fact that serious or unexpected consequences might result from any injuries or illnesses, known or unknown, which may be related to this employment and it is, therefore, specifically agreed that this release shall be a complete bar to all workers' compensation claims for losses, injuries or damages of any nature whatsoever and/or which may at any time in the future result from any injuries, accidental injuries or occupational diseases heretofore sustained by the undersigned as the result of or arising out of the undersigned's alleged work accident of May 19, 2008, while in the employ of **DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS**.

The undersigned covenants and agrees that he has received neither Medicaid nor Medicare benefits as a result of any injuries, accidental injuries or occupational diseases heretofore sustained while in the course and scope of his employment with **DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS**, and he further represents that any medical or related treatment heretofore or hereafter had by the undersigned which has been or may be paid for by Medicaid or Medicare benefits does not relate in any way to any injuries, accidental injuries or occupational diseases sustained in the

Absolute Release  
Page 1 of 3

 (Lee Young)  
Initial


course and scope of his employment with **DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS**. The undersigned also represents that he does not receive Social Security disability benefits.

For the same consideration aforesaid, the undersigned covenants and agrees to defend, indemnify and save harmless the parties hereinabove released from any expense whatsoever relating to any demand, claim, suit or complaint which may at any time be brought or made against the parties hereinabove released relative in any way whatsoever to any injuries or diseases arising out of the undersigned's alleged May 19, 2008 work accident while the undersigned was in the employ of **DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS**, including but not limited to any demands for reimbursement made by the Division of Medicaid or Medicare, its successors or assigns or by any provider of medical treatment or supplies.


It is understood that this is a full, complete and final release, discharge and acquittal of the parties hereinabove specified as being released, and the aforesaid consideration is the only consideration paid or to be paid in settlement of the undersigned's workers' compensation claim of May 19, 2008. The undersigned further warrants that he has made no assignment of any right or asserted right against the parties herein released.

And since the purpose of this settlement is to end this matter forever, the undersigned agrees, covenants and warrants that should it develop that there are any errors or mistakes, whether legal or factual and whether mutual or unilateral, which cause this Absolute Release to be defective or which cause the release of payors to be defective or less than full and complete, then the undersigned will execute any and all instruments and do any and all things necessary to effectuate a full, final and complete release of the payors.


This the 29 day of March, <sup>2010</sup>~~2009~~.

  
LEE YOUNG 5120100405122139

READ AND APPROVED BY:

  
MARC E. BRAND (MSB# 4301)  
Attorney for Claimant

Absolute Release  
Page 2 of 3

 (Lee Young)  
Initial

4/5/2010

A818201084000101

5120100405122139

07/12/2010 9:20AM

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF HENDS

PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority in and for the jurisdiction aforesaid, the within named **LEE YOUNG**, Claimant, who acknowledges that he signed and delivered the foregoing Absolute Release on this date for the purposes therein stated.


LEE YOUNG

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME, this the 29<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2009.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

MELVIN J. BREEDEN, JR.  
Mississippi Statewide Notary Public  
My Commission Expires October 25, 2010



Absolute Release  
Page 3 of 3

LY (Lee Young)  
Initial

# **EXHIBIT H**



**Hearing Date and Time: August 27, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)**  
**Supplemental Response Date and Time: August 25, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time)**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case Number 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

**REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO RESPONSE OF  
CLAIMANT TO REORGANIZED DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO ADMINISTRATIVE  
EXPENSE CLAIM NUMBER 19168 FILED BY MICHIGAN FUNDS ADMINISTRATION**

**("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY REGARDING CLAIM  
FILED BY MICHIGAN FUNDS ADMINISTRATION")**

DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (together with DPH Holdings Corp., the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit the Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply To Response Of Claimant To Reorganized Debtors' Objections To Administrative Expense Claim Number 19168 Filed By Michigan Funds Administration (the "Supplemental Reply"), and respectfully represent as follows:

A. Preliminary Statement

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005, Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (the "Debtors"), predecessors of the Reorganized Debtors, filed voluntary petitions in this Court for reorganization relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended.

2. On October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by this Court pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

3. On July 15, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 10884, 15346, And 15347 And Reorganized Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 19168 And 19574 (Docket No. 20419) (the "Sufficiency Hearing Notice").

4. The Reorganized Debtors filed the Sufficiency Hearing Notice and are filing this Supplemental Reply to implement Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan, which provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests." Modified Plan, art. 9.6(a).

5. By the Sufficiency Hearing Notice and pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Address Contested Administrative Expense Claims, entered October 22, 2009 (Docket No. 18998), the Eleventh Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered April 5, 2010 (Docket No. 19776), and the Notice Of Rescheduling Of Fifty-Ninth Omnibus Hearing And Thirty-Seventh Claims Hearing (Docket No. 20417), the Reorganized Debtors scheduled a hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") on August 27, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in this Court to address the legal sufficiency of proof of administrative expense claim number 19168 and whether such proof of claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor.

6. This Supplemental Reply is filed pursuant to paragraph 9(b)(i) of the Claims Objection Procedures Order. Pursuant to paragraph 9(b)(ii) of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, if a Claimant wishes to file a supplemental pleading in response to this Supplemental Reply, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing – i.e., by **August 25, 2010.**

B. Relief Requested

7. By this Supplemental Reply, the Reorganized Debtors request entry of an order disallowing and expunging a certain proof of administrative expense claim filed against the Debtors in their chapter 11 cases.

C. The Claim Filed Against The Debtors

8. On July 15, 2009, the Michigan Funds Administration (the "Claimant") filed administrative expense claim number 19168 (the "Claim") against Delphi ("Delphi"), asserting a claim in the amount of \$1,130,191.92 for workers' compensation funds assessments. During the Reorganized Debtors' review of the Claim, the Reorganized Debtors determined that the Claim was fully satisfied by previous payments. Moreover, the Claimant made no reservation of rights to amend the Claim for additional amounts. Accordingly, this Court should enter an order disallowing and expunging the Claim in its entirety.

9. The Reorganized Debtors' Objection To Claim 19168. On March 19, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors objected to the Claim on the Reorganized Debtors' Forty-Sixth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Disallow And Expunge Certain Administrative Expense (A) Books And Records Claims, (B) Methode Electronics Claims, (C) State Workers' Compensation Claims, (D) Duplicate State Workers' Compensation Claims, (E) Workers' Compensation Claims, (F) Transferred Workers' Compensation Claims, (G) Tax Claims, (H) Duplicate Insurance Claims, And (I) Severance Claims, (II) Disallow And Expunge (A) A Certain Duplicate Workers' Compensation Claim, (B) A Certain Duplicate Tax Claim, And (C) A Certain Duplicate Severance Claim, (III) Modify Certain Administrative Expense (A) State Workers' Compensation Claims And (B) Workers' Compensation Claims, And (IV) Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims (Docket No. 19711) (the "Forty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection"), on the grounds that the Claim was not reflected on the Reorganized Debtors' books and records.

10. Response To The Reorganized Debtors' Objection. On April 14, 2010, the Claimant filed the Response To Debtors' Forty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection On Behalf Of Michigan Funds Administration (Docket No. 19824) (the "Response").

11. The Sufficiency Hearing Notice. Pursuant to the Claims Objection

Procedures Order, the hearing on the Reorganized Debtors' objection to the Claim was adjourned to a future date. On July 15, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Sufficiency Hearing Notice with respect to the Claim scheduling the Sufficiency Hearing.

D. Claimant's Burden Of Proof And Standard For Sufficiency Of Claim

12. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that the Claim fails to state a claim against the Debtors under rule 7012 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"). The Claimant has not proved any facts to support a right to payment by the Reorganized Debtors on behalf of the Debtors. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors' objections to the Claim should be sustained and the Claim should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

13. The burden of proof to establish a claim against the Debtors rests on the claimant and, if a proof of claim does not include sufficient factual support, such proof of claim is not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). In re Spiegel, Inc., No. 03-11540, 2007 WL 2456626, at \*15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. August 22, 2007) (the claimant always bears the burden of persuasion and must initially allege facts sufficient to support the claim); see also In re WorldCom, Inc., No. 02-13533, 2005 WL 3832065, at \*4 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2005) (only a claim that alleges facts sufficient to support legal liability to claimant satisfies claimant's initial obligation to file substantiated proof of claim); In re Allegheny Int'l., Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173 (3d Cir. 1992) (in its initial proof of claim filing, claimant must allege facts sufficient to support claim); In re Chiro Plus, Inc., 339 B.R. 111, 113 (Bankr. D. N.J. 2006) (claimant bears initial burden of sufficiently alleging claim and establishing facts to support legal liability); In re Armstrong Finishing, L.L.C., No. 99-11576-C11, 2001 WL 1700029, at \*2 (Bankr. M.D.N.C. May 2, 2001) (only when claimant alleges

facts sufficient to support its proof of claim is it entitled to have claim considered prima facie valid); In re United Cos. Fin. Corp., 267 B.R. 524, 527 (Bankr. D. Del. 2000) (claimant must allege facts sufficient to support legal basis for its claim to have claim make prima facie case).

14. For purposes of sufficiency, this Court has determined that the standard of whether a claimant has met its initial burden of proof to establish a claim should be similar to the standard employed by courts in deciding a motion to dismiss under Bankruptcy Rules 7012 and 9014. See Transcript of January 12, 2007 Hearing (Docket No. 7118) (the "January 12, 2007 Transcript") at 52:24-53:1. Pursuant to that standard, a motion to dismiss should be granted if a claimant fails to make "[f]actual allegations . . . enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level [to a plausible level],' assuming (of course) that all the allegations in the complaint are true." Bradley v. Rell, No. 1:07-CV-0148, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29606, at \*13 (N.D.N.Y. Mar. 25, 2010) (quoting Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S 544, 555 (2007)). Essentially, the claimant must provide facts that plausibly support a legal liability against the Debtors.

15. This Court further established that the sufficiency hearing standard is consistent with Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), which states that "a proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with these Rules shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f) (emphasis added). Likewise, Bankruptcy Rule 3001(a) requires that "the proof of claim shall conform substantially to the appropriate Official Form" and Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c) requires that "when a claim . . . is based on a writing, the original or a duplicate shall be filed with the proof of claim." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(a), (c). See January 12, 2007 Transcript at 52:17-22.

E. Argument Regarding The Claim

16. The Claim Has Been Satisfied In Full. On July 29, 2009, the Debtors issued three separate checks to the Claimant in the amounts of: (a) \$741,109.45, (b) \$354,497.36, and (c) \$34,585.11. These checks were submitted to the Claimant together with payment remittance forms provided by the Claimant to satisfy the workers' compensation fund assessments asserted in the Claim. The total amount of the three checks referenced above was \$1,130,191.92 – the same amount asserted on the Claim. The completed payment remittance forms along with copies of the checks are attached hereto as Exhibit A and copies of the three cleared checks are attached hereto as Exhibit B.

17. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors believe that the Claim has been paid in full and assert that (a) the Michigan Funds Administration has not met its burden of proof to establish a claim against or interest in the Debtors, (b) administrative expense claim number 19168 is not entitled to a presumption of prima facie validity pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), and (c) the Claim fails to state a claim against the Reorganized Debtors under Bankruptcy Rule 7012. Because the Michigan Funds Administration cannot provide facts or law supporting the Claim, the Forty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection should be sustained as to administrative expense claim number 19168, and the Claim should be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request this Court enter an order (a) sustaining the objection with respect to the Claim, (b) disallowing and expunging the Claim in its entirety, and (c) granting such further and other relief this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors



**Exhibit A**



## Sedgwick CMS

Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc.  
25330 Telegraph Road Southfield, MI 48033  
Phone: 248-603-8167 Fax: 248-223-6358

August 4, 2009

**Via Certified Mail – Return Receipt – 7004-1160-0001-8367-7245**

Ms. Valerie Hart, Assessment Coordinator  
State of Michigan  
7201 W. Saginaw Hwy, Ste 110  
Lansing, Michigan 48917

**Re: Delphi Corporation – Annual Assessments**

Enclosed are checks for Delphi Corporation for the following Michigan assessments:

Silicosis, Dust Disease & Logging - Check No. 21250199 – Amount \$34,585.11

Second Injury Fund – Check No. 21250197 – Amount \$354,497.36

Self Insurers' Security Fund – Check No. 21250198 – Amount \$741,109.45

If you have any questions, or need additional information, please feel free to give me a call at 248-603-8167.

Sincerely,

Sheila M. Gerard  
Data Analyst IV

/SMG

Enclosures (noted above)

**PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND RETURN IT (BOTH FRONT AND BACK SIDES) WITH YOUR REMITTANCE IN FULL BY SEPTEMBER 23, 2009 TO:**

State of Michigan - Second Injury Fund  
7201 W. Saginaw Hwy., Ste. 110  
Lansing, MI 48917

RECEIVED

JUL 21 2009

DNBC

Attention: Valerie A. Hart, Assessment Coordinator

**\*\*EACH FUND CHECK AND THIS DOCUMENT CAN BE MAILED IN THE SAME ENVELOPE. IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT YOU RETURN THIS DOCUMENT WITH YOUR PARTY AND REFERENCE NUMBERS INCLUDED TO INSURE PROPER CREDIT TO YOUR ACCOUNT\*\***

MARK FRAYLICK, MGR WORKERS' COMP  
DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS CORP.  
5825 DELPHI DRIVE  
MC-480-410-104  
TROY, MI 48098

Funds Administration Party #: 12933

REFERENCE NUMBER: 49906 (Please use this reference number in your correspondence.)

Our total amount of Michigan workers' compensation benefits, including redemption settlements, but excluding medical costs, rehabilitation payments, and funeral costs, paid during **calendar year 2008** was:

\$ 24,703,648.45

0.01435 of the above amount is \$ 354,497.36 for which remittance is enclosed.

Please complete the fields in **bold** below and complete the company name and address if different than what is listed on the address above

Company Name Delphi Corporation **FED ID#** 38-3430473

Address 5825 Delphi Drive, MC-480-410-104, Troy, MI 48098

Contact Person/Title Mark Fraylick, Manager, WC Telephone # 248-813-1252

E-Mail mark.a.fraylick@delphi.com

Completed By/Title Shelva M. Gerard, Data Analyst Telephone # 248-603-8167

Please contact your service company to verify who is to make payment of this invoice as to avoid duplicate payment.

Service Company (if applicable) Sedgwick Claims Management Services

Service Company Telephone # 248-603-8167 Date 8/4/09

Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc  
 P.O. Box 14422  
 Lexington KY 40512-4422

RECEIVED  
 AUG 04 2009  
 DNBC

DATE	CHECK AMT	CHECK NO.
07/29/2009	354,497.36	0021250197
PAYEE	TAX ID	
STATE OF MICHIGAN - SECOD INJURY FUND	*****0134	
SCMS UNIT	PAGE	
182 Sedgwick Claims Management Services	001	

000100 0021250197 00144 OF 00146 OAM 090729 1032

SEDGWICK CMS  
 25330 TELEGRAPH ROAD - SUITE 440  
 TAKELA HARRIS/SHEILA GERARD  
 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48033

Claimant Name	Loss Date	Claim Number	SSN
<p>Amt Paid: 354497.36 Description: State Assessment                      Amt Billed: 354497.36 Invoice: Ref No 49906 ICN:                      Dates: 07/29/2009 - 07/29/2009 Comment: Delphi MI Annual Assessemnt 2nd Injury - Fund#12933</p>			

For more information about other Sedgwick CMS payments? Visit [sedgwickcms.com](http://sedgwickcms.com). Click on Provider Resources, then choose viaOne Express® for Providers.

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT IS PRINTED ON CHEMICAL REACTIVE PAPER WITH AN UNPRINTED WATERMARK - DO NOT WASH IF THE WATERMARK IS VISIBLE

**Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc**  
 on Behalf of Delphi Automotive Systems

ORIGIN 1824856 DATE 07/29/2009 CHECK NO 0021250197 62-22 311

**PAY ONLY 354497.36**

000100 00000 00000 0021250197 00144 OF 00146 OAM 090729 1032

PAY \*THREE HUNDRED FIFTY FOUR THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED\*  
 \*NINETY SEVEN AND 36/100 DOLLARS\*

TO STATE OF MICHIGAN - SECOD INJURY FUND  
 THE  
 ORDER  
 OF

achovia, N.A. Bank  
 Lexington, DE

VOID AFTER 60 DAYS

Delphi Automotive Systems, Principal  
 Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc, Agent  
 BY: *[Signature]*

\$354497.36

*[Stamp: EB 8-7]*

⑈0021250197⑈ ⑆031100225⑆ 2079950059703⑈

07/14/2010 8:53AM

**PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND RETURN IT (BOTH FRONT AND BACK SIDES) WITH YOUR REMITTANCE IN FULL BY SEPTEMBER 23, 2009 TO:**

State of Michigan - Silicosis, Dust Disease and Logging Industry Compensation Fund  
7201 W. Saginaw Hwy., Ste. 110  
Lansing, MI 48917

RECEIVED

JUL 21 2009

DNBC

Attention: Valerie A. Hart, Assessment Coordinator

**\*\*EACH FUND CHECK AND THIS DOCUMENT CAN BE MAILED IN THE SAME ENVELOPE. IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT YOU RETURN THIS DOCUMENT WITH YOUR PARTY AND REFERENCE NUMBERS INCLUDED TO INSURE PROPER CREDIT TO YOUR ACCOUNT\*\***

MARK FRAYLICK, MGR WORKERS' COMP  
DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS CORP.  
5825 DELPHI DRIVE  
MC-480-410-104  
TROY, MI 48098

Funds Administration Party #: 12933

REFERENCE NUMBER: 50671 (Please use this reference number in your correspondence.)

Our total amount of Michigan workers' compensation benefits, including redemption settlements, but excluding medical costs, rehabilitation payments, and funeral costs, paid during **calendar year 2008** was:

\$ 24,703,648.45

0.0014 of the above amount is \$ 34,585.11 for which remittance is enclosed.

Please complete the fields in **bold** below and complete the company name and address if different than what is listed on the address above

Company Name Delphi Corporation **FED ID#** 38-3430473

Address 5825 Delphi Drive-M/C 480-410-104, Troy, MI 48098

Contact Person/Title Mark Fraylick, mgr. Work Comp Telephone # 248.813.1252

E-Mail mark.a.fraylick@delphi.com

Completed By/Title Sheila M. Gerard, Data Analyst Telephone # 248.603.8167

Please contact your service company to verify who is to make payment of this invoice as to avoid duplicate payment.

Service Company (if applicable) Sedgwick Claims Management Services

Service Company Telephone # 248.603.8167 Date 8/14/09

Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc  
 P.O. Box 14422  
 Lexington KY 40512-4422

RECEIVED  
 AUG 03 2009  
 DNBC

DATE	CHECK AMT	CHECK NO.
07/29/2009	34,585.11	0021250199
PAYEE	TAX ID	
STATE OF MICHIGAN-SILICOSIS, DUST DISEAS	*****0134	
SCMS UNIT	PAGE	
182 Sedgwick Claims Management Services	001	

000102 0021250199 00146 OF 00146 QAM 090729 1032

SEDGWICK CMS  
 25330 TELEGRAPH ROAD - SUITE 440  
 ATTN: TAKELA HARRIS/SHEILA GERARD  
 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48033

Claimant Name	Loss Date	Claim Number	SSN
<p>Amt Paid: 34585.11 Description: State Assessment                  Amt Billed: 34585.11 Invoice: 50671 ICN:                  Dates: 07/29/2009 - 07/29/2009 Comment: Delphi MI assesement - Silicosis Dust Fund - Fund#12</p>			

For questions about other Sedgwick CMS payments? Visit [sedgwickcms.com](http://sedgwickcms.com). Click on Provider Resources, then choose viaOne Express® for Providers.

Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc  
 on Behalf of Delphi Automotive Systems

ORIGIN  
 1821855

DATE  
 07/29/2009

CHECK NO.  
 0021250199

62-22  
 311

PAY ONLY 34585.11  
 THREE FOUR FIVE EIGHT FIVE 11

000102 00000 00000 0021250199 00146 OF 00146 QAM 090729 1032

PAY \*THIRTY FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE\*  
 \*AND 11/100 DOLLARS\*

TO STATE OF MICHIGAN-SILICOSIS, DUST DISEAS  
 THE  
 ORDER  
 OF

Wachovia, N.A. Bank  
 Wilmington, DE

VOID AFTER 60 DAYS

\$34585.11  
 Delphi Automotive Systems, Principal  
 Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc, Agent  
 BY:

*[Signature]*  
 EBA

⑈0021250199⑈ ⑈031100225⑈ 2079950059703⑈

07/14/2010 8:53AM

**PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND RETURN IT (BOTH FRONT AND BACK SIDES) WITH YOUR REMITTANCE IN FULL BY SEPTEMBER 23, 2009 TO:**

State of Michigan - Self-Insurers' Security Fund  
7201 W. Saginaw Hwy., Ste. 110  
Lansing, MI 48917

Attention: Valerie A. Hart, Assessment Coordinator

RECEIVED

JUL 21 2009

**\*\*EACH FUND CHECK AND THIS DOCUMENT CAN BE MAILED IN THE SAME ENVELOPE. IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT YOU RETURN THIS DOCUMENT WITH YOUR PARTY AND REFERENCE NUMBERS INCLUDED TO INSURE PROPER CREDIT TO YOUR ACCOUNT\*\***

MARK FRAYLICK, MGR WORKERS' COMP  
DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS CORP.  
5825 DELPHI DRIVE  
MC-480-410-104  
TROY, MI 48098

Funds Administration Party #: 12933

REFERENCE NUMBER: 49133 (Please use this reference number in your correspondence.)

Our total amount of Michigan workers' compensation benefits, including redemption settlements, but excluding medical costs, rehabilitation payments, and funeral costs, paid during **calendar year 2008** was:

\$ 24,703,648.45

0.03 of the above amount is \$ 741,109.45 for which remittance is enclosed.

Please complete the fields in **bold** below and complete the company name and address if different than what is listed on the address above

Company Name Delphi Corporation **FED ID#** 38-3430473

Address 5825 Delphi Drive MC-480-410-104, Troy, MI 48098

Contact Person/Title Mark Fraylick, Manager Wc **Telephone #** 248.813.1252

**E-Mail** mark.a.fraylick@delphi.com

**Completed By/Title** Sheila H. Gerard, Data Analyst **Telephone #** 248.603.8167

Please contact your service company to verify who is to make payment of this invoice as to avoid duplicate payment.

**Service Company (if applicable)** Sedgwick Claims Management Services

**Service Company Telephone #** 248.603.8167 **Date** 8/4/09

Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc  
 P.O. Box 14422  
 Lexington KY 40512-4422

**RECEIVED**  
**AUG 03 2009**  
**DNBC**

DATE	CHECK AMT	CHECK NO.
07/29/2009	741,109.45	0021250198
PAYEE	TAX ID	
STATE OF MICHIGAN	*****Q134	
SCMS UNIT	PAGE	
182 Sedgwick Claims Management Services	001	

000101 0021250198 00145 OF 00146 OAM 090729 1032

**SEDGWICK CMS**  
**25330 TELEGRAPH ROAD - SUITE 440**  
**ATTN: TAKELA HARRIS/SHEILA GERARD**  
**SOUTHFIELD, MI 48033**

Claimant Name	Loss Date	Claim Number	SSN
<p>Amt Paid: 741109.45 Description: State Assessment                      Amt Billed: 741109.45 Invoice: 49133 ICN:                      Dates: 07/29/2009 - 07/29/2009 Comment: Delphi MI Assessment - SI Security Fund - Fund#12933</p>			

Questions about other Sedgwick CMS payments? Visit [sedgwickcms.com](http://sedgwickcms.com). Click on Provider Resources, then choose via One Express® for Providers.

Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc  
 on Behalf of Delphi Automotive Systems

ORIGIN  
 1821858

DATE  
 07/29/2009

CHECK NO.  
 0021250198

62-22  
 311

**PAY ONLY 741109.45**

000101 00000 00000 0021250198 00145 OF 00146 OAM 090729 1032

PAY \*SEVEN HUNDRED FORTY ONE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED NINE\*  
 \*AND 45/100 DOLLARS\*

TO STATE OF MICHIGAN  
 THE SELF INSURERS' SECURITY FUND  
 ORDER  
 OF

Wachovia, N.A. Bank  
 Wilmington, DE

VOID AFTER 60 DAYS

Delphi Automotive Systems, Principal  
 Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc, Agent  
 BY:

*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]*

0021250198 031100225 2079950059703

07/14/2010 8:53AM



**Exhibit B**

Account	Serial Number	Date	Amount
2079950059703	21250199	08/14/2009	\$34,585.11

Front Image

ORIGINAL USE ONLY: DO NOT WRITE OR SIGN IN THESE AREAS. DO NOT SIGN IN THESE AREAS.

**Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc**  
**on Behalf of Delphi Automotive Systems**

ORIGIN 1821856 DATE 07/29/2009 CHECK NO. 0021250199 62-22 311

**PAY ONLY 34585.11**

000102 00000 00000 0021250199 00146 OF 00146 000729 1032

PAY \*THIRTY FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE\*  
 \*AND 11/100 DOLLARS\*

TO STATE OF MICHIGAN-SILICOSIS, DUST DISEAS  
 THE  
 ORDER  
 OF

Wachovia, N.A. Bank  
 Wilmington, DE

VOID AFTER 60 DAYS

Delphi Automotive Systems, Principal  
 Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. Agent  
 BY: *[Signature]*

0003458511

⑈0021250199⑈ ⑆031100225⑆ 2079950059703⑈ ⑆0003458511⑆

Back Image

UP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA  
 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46182-0001  
 0079950059703 000 0177 22

3220195555

2948 6609

FOR DEPOSIT ONLY  
 SILICOSIS & DUST  
 DISEASE FUND  
 SECOND INJURY FUND  
 SELF-INSURERS' SECURITY FUND

Wachovia certifies that the above image accurately represents the physical item from which it was produced.

Account	Serial Number	Date	Amount
2079950059703	21250198	08/14/2009	\$741,109.45

Front Image

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT IS SHOWN ON CHEMICAL RESISTIVE POLYESTER FILM. DO NOT CASH IN THE WATERMARK IS NOT VISIBLE. SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMPLETE SECURITY FEATURES.

Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc  
on Behalf of Delphi Automotive Systems

ORIGIN 1821856 DATE 07/29/2009 CHECK NO. 0021250198 62-22 311

**PAY ONLY 741109.45**

000101 00000 00000 0021250198 00345 DF 00146 0AM 000723 1032

PAY \*SEVEN HUNDRED FORTY ONE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED NINE\*  
\*AND 45/100 DOLLARS\*

TO STATE OF MICHIGAN  
THE SELF INSURERS' SECURITY FUND  
ORDER  
OF

Wachovia, N.A. Bank  
Wilmington, DE

VOID AFTER 60 DAYS

Delphi Automotive Systems, Principal  
Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc, Agent  
BY: *[Signature]*

00021250198 00345 00146 0AM 000723 1032 0074110945

Back Image

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-0001  
0074110945 00345 00146 0AM 000723 1032

2948 66091

FOR DEPOSIT ONLY  
SILICOIS & DUST  
DISEASE FUND  
SECOND INJURY FUND  
SELF-INSURERS' SECURITY FUND

Wachovia certifies that the above image accurately represents the physical item from which it was produced.

Account	Serial Number	Date	Amount
2079950059703	21250197	08/14/2009	\$354,497.36

Front Image

SEDGWICK CLAIMS MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ON BEHALF OF DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS

ORIGIN 1821656 DATE 07/29/2009 CHECK NO. 0021250197 62-22 311

PAY ONLY **354497.36**

000100 00300 00000 0021250197 00144 00 00146 0AM 090728 1032

PAY \*THREE HUNDRED FIFTY FOUR THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED \*NINETY SEVEN AND 36/100 DOLLARS\*

TO STATE OF MICHIGAN - SECOND INJURY FUND

THE ORDER OF

Wachovia, N.A. Bank Wilmington, DE VOID AFTER 60 DAYS

Delphi Automotive Systems, Principal  
Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc., Agent  
BY: *[Signature]*

00021250197 003110022502079950059703 0035449736

Back Image

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46226-0000  
974999634 033 0177 22

2948 66092

FOR DEPOSIT ONLY  
SILICOSIS & DUST  
DISEASE FUND  
SECOND INJURY FUND  
SELF-INSURERS' SECURITY FUND

Wachovia certifies that the above image accurately represents the physical item from which it was produced.

# **EXHIBIT I**

Hearing Date And Time: September 24, 2010 at 10:00 a.m.  
Deadline To File Motion For Leave To File Late Claim: September 3, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Reorganized Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEADLINE TO FILE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE LATE CLAIM  
WITH RESPECT TO LATE CLAIM FILED BY BEST FOAM FABRICATORS, INC.  
(PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 16550)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to rule 9006(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, if claimant, Best Foam Fabricators, Inc. (the "Claimant") wishes to further prosecute proof of claim number 16550 (the "Untimely Claim") it filed without leave of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Bankruptcy Court") after the July 31, 2006 bar date established pursuant to the Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 107(b), 501, 502, And 1111(a) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1009, 2002(a)(7), 3003(c)(3), And 5005(a) Establishing Bar Dates For Filing Proofs Of Claim And Approving Form And Manner Of Notice Thereof (Docket No. 3206) entered on April 12, 2006, the Claimant must file a motion seeking such relief (the "Motion").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that such Motion must (a) be in writing and (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, served in accordance with the procedures set forth herein and the Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered March 20, 2006 (Docket No. 2883) and the Twentieth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(l) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered July 16, 2010 (Docket No. 20427), and (c) **be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on September 3, 2010** (the "Deadline").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if the Claimant files a Motion, the hearing to consider the relief requested in such Motion will be held on September 24, 2010 at

10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) (the "Hearing") before the Honorable Robert D. Drain,  
United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas  
Street, Courtroom 116, White Plains, New York 10601-4140.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that only a Motion made as set forth herein  
will be considered by the Bankruptcy Court at the Hearing. If no Motion is filed by the  
Deadline, the Bankruptcy Court may enter a final order disallowing and expunging the Untimely  
Claim filed by the Claimant without further notice or hearing on September 24, 2010.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors



# **EXHIBIT J**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF  
DEBTORS' THIRTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION  
WITH RESPECT TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 5408 AND 7269

("NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF THIRTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS  
OBJECTION WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN PROOFS OF CLAIM")

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), predecessors of DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), objected to proofs of claim numbers 5408 and 7269 (together, the "Proofs of Claim"), filed by certain parties set forth on Exhibit A (together, the "Claimants"), in the Debtors' Thirty-Fifth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Expunge (A) Books And Records Claim, (B) Certain Salaried Pension And OPEB Claims, (C) Certain Wage And Benefit Claims, And (D) Certain Individual Workers' Compensation Books And Records Claims And (II) Modify And Allow Certain Claims (Docket No. 18826) (the "Thirty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors are withdrawing the Thirty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection with respect to the Proofs of Claim.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in accordance with Articles 1.9 and 9.6(b) of the Modified Plan and 11 U.S.C § 502, the Proofs of Claim will be allowed as general unsecured non-priority claims in the amounts set forth in Column K of Exhibit A which reflects the amount asserted on the Proofs of Claim as modified by the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 (I) Expunging (A) Certain Pension And OPEB Claims, (B) Certain Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (C) Certain Duplicate And/Or Amended Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (D) An Untimely Individual Workers' Compensation Claim, (E) A Secured Books And Records Claim, And (F) Certain Untimely Claims, (II) Modifying Certain (A) Wage And Benefit Claims, (B) State Workers' Compensation Claims, And (C) Individual Workers' Compensation Claims Asserting Priority, (III) Provisionally Disallowing Certain Union Claims, And (IV) Modifying And Allowing Certain Settled Claims Identified In The Thirty-Fourth Omnibus Claims Objection (Docket No. 18637).

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

**EXHIBIT A**

A	B	C	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Proof Of Claim Number	Date Filed	Party Filing Proof Of Claim	Debtor Named On Proof Of Claim	Asserted Amount	Asserted Classification	Omnibus Claims Objection Order	Docket No. Of Omnibus Claims Objection Order	Debtor Against Which Claim Will Be Allowed	Allowed Amount	Allowed Classification
5408	5/9/2006	COOK GARY L	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	UNLIQUIDATED	UNSECURED PRIORITY	THIRTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION	18637	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$0.00	GENERAL UNSECURED
7269	6/1/2006	BURNS BOBBIE L	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	UNLIQUIDATED	UNSECURED PRIORITY AND GENERAL UNSECURED	THIRTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION	18637	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$0.00	GENERAL UNSECURED

# **EXHIBIT K**

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:  
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305  
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:  
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

**REORGANIZED DEBTORS' NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF  
DEBTORS' TWENTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION  
WITH RESPECT TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 805, 2387, 11854, AND 15469**

**("NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF TWENTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS  
OBJECTION WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN PROOFS OF CLAIM")**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), predecessors to DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), objected to proofs of claim numbers 805, 2387, 11854, and 15469 (together, the "Proofs of Claim"), filed by certain parties set forth on Exhibit A (together, the "Claimants"), in the Debtors' Twenty-Seventh Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain Claims To Implement Cure Payments And Modify General Unsecured Claims By Amount Of Cure Payments (Docket No. 12687) (the "Twenty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors are withdrawing the Twenty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection with respect to the Proofs of Claim.



PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in accordance with Articles 1.9 and 9.6(b) of the Modified Plan and 11 U.S.C § 502, the Proofs of Claim will be allowed as general unsecured non-priority claims in the amounts set forth in Column K of Exhibit A which reflects either (a) the amount asserted on the Proofs of Claim as modified by prior orders of this Court or (b) if a Proof of Claim has not been subject to modification under a prior order of this Court, the asserted amount on the Proof of Claim.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John Wm. Butler, Jr.  
John K. Lyons  
Ron E. Meisler  
155 North Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,  
Reorganized Debtors

**EXHIBIT A**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Proof Of Claim Number	Date Filed	Party Filing Proof Of Claim	Owner Of Claim	Debtor Named On Proof Of Claim	Asserted Amount	Asserted Classification	Omnibus Claims Objection Order	Docket No. Of Omnibus Claims Objection Order	Debtor Against Which Claim Will Be Allowed	Allowed Amount	Allowed Classification
805	11/22/2005	ZYLUX ACOUSTIC CORP.	LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS, INC. (D/B/A REVENUE MANAGEMENT) AS ASSIGNEE OF ZYLUX ACOUSTIC CORPORATION	DELPHI DELCO ELECTRONICS SYSTEM	\$310,941.94	SECURED	FIFTEENTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION	8443	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$291,767.68	GENERAL UNSECURED
2387	3/24/2006	REVENUE MANAGEMENT AS ASSIGNEE OF DETROIT HEADING LLC	LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS, INC. (D/B/A REVENUE MANAGEMENT) AS ASSIGNEE OF DETROIT HEADING, LLC	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$61,578.63	GENERAL UNSECURED	THIRTEENTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION	8441	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$60,876.94	GENERAL UNSECURED
11854	7/28/2006	CAPITAL MARKETS AS ASSIGNEE OF ST MARYS CARBON CO INC	LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS, INC. (D/B/A CAPITAL MARKETS) AS ASSIGNEE OF ST MARY'S CARBON CO. INC.	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$49,788.00	GENERAL UNSECURED	N/A	N/A	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$49,788.00	GENERAL UNSECURED
15469	7/31/2006	LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS, INC. DBA REVENUE MANAGEMENT AS ASSIGNEE OF FREEWAY CORPORATION	LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS, INC. (D/B/A REVENUE MANAGEMENT) AS ASSIGNEE OF FREEWAY CORPORATION	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$1,501.00	GENERAL UNSECURED	N/A	N/A	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC	\$1,501.00	GENERAL UNSECURED

# **EXHIBIT L**

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Genpact International Inc	Sharyn B Zuch	Wiggin and Dana LLP	185 Asylum St	Hartford	CT	06103-0000
Genpact International LLC	Leslie A Berkoff	Moritt Hock Hamroff & Horowitz LLP	400 Garden City Plaza	Garden City	NY	11530
Genpact International LLC	Sharyn B Zuch	Wiggin and Dana LLP	185 Asylum St	Hartford	CT	06103-0000

# **EXHIBIT M**

Pg 206 of 220  
DPH Holdings Corp.  
Special Parties

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Carolyn Needham	Timothy L Taylor	o b o Carolyn Needham	990 Monroe NW	Grand Rapids	MI	49503

# **EXHIBIT N**

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Ohio Bureau of Workers Compensation	Law Section Bankruptcy Unit	30 W Spring St	PO Box 15567	Columbus	OH	43215-0567
Ohio Bureau of Workers Compensation	Law Section Bankruptcy Unit	30 W Spring St	PO Box 15567	Columbus	OH	43215-0567
Ohio Bureau of Workers Compensation	Victoria D Garry Richard Cordray	Ohio Attorney Generals Office	1600 Carew Twr 441 Vine St	Cincinnati	OH	45202



# **EXHIBIT O**

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	James L Morgan AAG	Environmental Bureau	500 S 2nd St	Springfield	IL	62706
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency	Michelle T Sutter Ohio Attorney General	Environmental Enforcement Section	30 E Broad St 25th Fl	Columbus	OH	43215-3400
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency	Victoria D Garry and Richard Cordray	Ohio Attorney General	441 Vine St 16th Fl Carew Tower	Cincinnati	OH	45202

# **EXHIBIT P**

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Gilbert PLLC	A Spencer Gilbert III	4500 I 55 N Ste 246	PO Box 13187	Jackson	MS	39236
Lee H Young Jr	Lee H Young Jr	1212 Reserve Drive		Clinton	MS	39056
Lee H Young Jr	Mississippi Workers Compensation	Individual Self Insurer Guaranty Assn	PO Box 13187	Jackson	MS	39236

# **EXHIBIT Q**

Pg 214 of 220  
DPH Holdings Corp.  
Special Parties

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Michigan Funds Administration	Dennis J Raterink Assistant Attorney General	Labor Division	PO Box 30736	Lansing	MI	48909

# **EXHIBIT R**

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Best Foam Fabricators Inc	Keith Hasty	9633 S Cottage Grove		Chicago	IL	60628
Best Foam Fabricators Inc	Michael L Schein	Vedder Price Kaufman & Kammholz PC	1633 Broadway 47th Fl	New York	NY	10019
Best Foam Fabricators Inc	Michael Eidelman	Vedder Price Kaufman & Kammholz PC	222 North LaSalle Street Ste 2600	Chicago	IL	60601



# **EXHIBIT S**

Company	Address1	City	State	Zip
Burns Bobbie L	4545 Obrien Rd	Vassar	MI	48768-8938
Cook Gary L	5249 Field Rd	Clio	MI	48420-8220

# **EXHIBIT T**

Company	Contact	Address1	City	State	Zip
Capital Markets St Marys Carbon	Capital Markets	One University Plz Ste 312	Hackensack	NJ	07601-0000
Liquidity Sol Rev Mgmt Aplied Hndlg	Jeffrey L Caress	One University Plz Ste 312	Hackensack	NJ	07601-0000
Liquidity Sol Rev Mgmt Freeway Corp	Jeffrey L Caress	One University Plz Ste 312	Hackensack	NJ	07601-0000
Liquidity Solutions Inc	Norman D Schoenfeld	One University Plz Ste 312	Hackensack	NJ	07601-0000
Revenue Mgmt Detroit Heading	Revenue Mgmt Detroit Heading	One University Plz Ste 312	Hackensack	NJ	07601-0000